

Agenda Item 12

BABERGH DISTRICT COUNCIL

TO: Cabinet	REPORT NUMBER: BCa/22/21
FROM: Councillor Clive Arthey- Cabinet Member for Planning	DATE OF MEETING: 5th September 2022
OFFICER: Tom Barker - Director Planning and Building Control	KEY DECISION REF NO. CAB360

COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL) - CIL EXPENDITURE PROGRAMME SEPTEMBER 2022

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 1.1 The CIL Expenditure Framework and the CIL Expenditure Framework Communications Strategy were adopted by Mid Suffolk on the 21st July 2022. These documents were also accompanied by the CIL Key Dates calendar 2022/23 (see background Papers). All these documents are due to be considered by Babergh at its next Council meeting on the 20st September 2022.
- 12 The processes and governance around CIL expenditure is set out in these documents and the type of infrastructure that CIL monies can be spent on is set out in each Councils Infrastructure Funding Statement – Infrastructure List. (Background Documents refer).
- 13 CIL expenditure operates using a process of twice-yearly bid rounds which occur on the 1st - 31st May and 1st - 31st October each year. Once all the Bids have been validated, all valid Bids are then screened for the availability of s106 funds and other funding streams. Following this all valid Bids are prioritised using criteria set out in the CIL Expenditure Framework and recommendations on Valid Bids are included within a CIL Expenditure Programme for each District. The CIL Expenditure Programme for that District will be considered by that Councils Cabinet with decisions on all valid Bids either for Cabinet to make or for Cabinet to note (if the Bid has been determined using delegated powers).
- 14 This report seeks to obtain approval by Cabinet for Babergh' s CIL Expenditure Programme – September 2022 which forms Appendix A to this report. This report contains the assessment of 6 CIL Bids (B21-03, B21-05, B22-02, B22-04, B22-05 B22-06) including the judgements around the prioritisation criteria for those Bids (Appendix B).

2. OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 21 There is a diverse spectrum of approaches to CIL expenditure across the country from Unitary Authorities who have absorbed CIL into their individual Capital Programmes to others who ringfence all funds to be spent locally. A range of different approaches was identified in Appendix A of the Framework for CIL Expenditure report provided to Cabinet's on the 5th and 8th of February 2018 and discussed in full during

the workshops with the Joint Member advisory panel. Members adopted the documents set out in paragraph 1.1 above by Council decision in April 2018 which were subsequently reviewed and adopted on the 19th March 2019 (Babergh) and 18th March 2019 (Mid Suffolk) and then reviewed for the second and third time and adopted by both Councils on the 20th April 2020 and 23rd March 2021(Babergh) and 25th March 2021(Mid Suffolk) respectively. The fourth review took place in June 2022 and Mid Suffolk approved the changes on the 21st July 2022. All the changes/documents will be considered by Babergh on the 20th September 2022.

3. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 That the CIL Expenditure Programme (September 2022) and accompanying technical assessment of the CIL Bids (forming Appendices, A and B) which include decisions on this CIL Bid for Cabinet to make and to note as follows: -

Decisions for Cabinet to approve: - Ringfenced Infrastructure Funds and Local Infrastructure Fund.

CIL Bid, Location and Infrastructure Proposed	Amount of CIL Bid and total cost of the infrastructure	Cabinet Decision
B22-02 CAPEL ST MARY upgrade to Children’s Play Area at Playing field and Provision of Additional Car parking	<p>Amount of CIL Bid £100,000.00</p> <p>Total cost of the project £143,116.00 Net Cost (Parish can reclaim VAT)</p> <p>Total of other funding obtained from Community Grant funding - £15,000.00 and funding from the Parish Council and Capel Community Trust - £28,116.00</p>	Recommendation to Cabinet to approve CIL Bid B22-02 for £100,000.00 from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Capel St Mary)
B22-05 COCKFIELD - Provision of Bus Shelter	<p>Amount of CIL Bid £25,028.08</p> <p>Total cost of the project £35,028.08</p> <p>Total of other funding obtained through Parish Council Neighbourhood CIL £10,000</p>	Recommendation to Cabinet to approve CIL Bid B22-05 for £25,028.08 from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Cockfield) subject to the prior completion of a lease for the land (not less than 25 years)

<p>B22-06 SUDBURY Gainsborough House</p>	<p>Amount of CIL Bid £152,504.86</p> <p>Total cost of remainder of the project £2,016,000 to November 2022,</p> <p>Total cost of the completed project £10.628,838</p>	<p>Recommendation to Cabinet to approve CIL Bid B22-06 for £152,504.86; £43,618.07 from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Sudbury) and £108,886.79 from the Local Infrastructure Fund.</p>
--	--	---

Decisions for Cabinet to note: Delegated Decisions – Ringfenced and Local Infrastructure Funds.

CIL Bid, Location and Infrastructure Proposed	Amount of CIL Bid and total cost of the infrastructure	Cabinet Decision
<p>B21-03 SUDBURY – to provide a Community Bus Transport parking area - Alexander Road Chilton Industrial Estate</p>	<p>Amount of CIL Bid £2,024.72</p> <p>Total cost of the project £2,689.72</p> <p>Total of other funding obtained by Bid applicants from BDC Communities – Minor Grant - for £665</p>	<p>Recommendation to Cabinet to note the Delegated decision for CIL Bid B21-03 for £2,024.72 from the Local Infrastructure Fund</p>
<p>B21-05 BENTLEY</p>	<p>Amount of CIL Bid £5706.00</p> <p>Total cost of the project ££9,988.00</p> <p>Total of other funding obtained by Bid applicants from BDC Communities – s106 for ££1,159.59</p> <p>Bentley Parish Council Funds - £3,122.41</p>	<p>Recommendation to Cabinet to note the Delegated decision for CIL Bid B21-05 for £5706.00 from the Local Infrastructure Fund</p>

B22-04 SUDBURY – Provision of CCTV facilities for Kingfisher Leisure Centre	Amount of CIL Bid £5416.21 Total cost of the project £6,499.45 including VAT (which can be claimed back)	Recommendation to Cabinet to note the Delegated decision for CIL Bid B22-04 for £5,416.21 from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Sudbury)
---	---	--

3.2 Cabinet are also asked to note and endorse this CIL Expenditure Programme which includes the position in respect of approved CIL Bids from Rounds 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9. (Appendix A Section B) together with details of emerging infrastructure /CIL Bids (Appendix A Section C).

REASON FOR DECISION

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) monies have been collected since the implementation of CIL on the 11th April 2016. The CIL Expenditure Framework (originally adopted in April 2018 and reviewed with amendments adopted on the 18th March 2019 and with further amendments on the 20th April 2020, March 2021 (and suggested for consideration in September 2022) requires the production of a CIL Expenditure Programme for each District which contains decisions for Cabinet to make or note on CIL Bids for CIL expenditure. These decisions relating to the expenditure of CIL monies form one of the ways in which necessary infrastructure supporting growth is delivered.

4. KEY INFORMATION

4.1 Given the determination of “available monies” (paragraphs 6.8 - 6.9 inclusive) Members are advised:

- Bid round 9 opened on the 1st May and closed on the 31st of May 2021 and all bids received are listed in Appendix A. All new Bids received to date have been acknowledged. Under the CIL Expenditure Framework all Bids are examined and validated, and where valid they are then screened, consulted upon, and assessed against prioritisation criteria (under the agreed procedures). The decisions are then presented to Cabinet to make and/or note (where delegated decisions have been made). These are included in the CIL Expenditure Programme and the Technical Assessments and both are presented to Cabinet to consider.
- This CIL Expenditure Programme document focuses on the following six CIL Bids. Further key information about these Bids is set out below (augmented by the Technical Assessments comprising Appendix B) as follows-

- **B22-02 Capel St Mary - Upgrade to Children’s Play Area and Provision of Additional Car parking**

- A cross party, cross Council Joint Member Panel has recently carried out a fourth review of the CIL Expenditure Framework (coupled with the CIL Expenditure Framework Communication Strategy. This work was carried out in June 2022 and was due to be presented to Babergh's Council meeting on the 19th July which was cancelled. The outcomes of the Joint Member Panel will be presented to Babergh's next Council meeting on the 20th September 2022. One of the proposed changes following this fourth review is an increase in the community threshold figure for CIL Bids from £75,000 to £100,000 per project (subject to the retained % figure of 75% of the total project costs) so as to address rising infrastructure and materials costs. On this basis it is considered appropriate to offer the uplifted sum of £100,000 for this project as an acceptable exception to the CIL Expenditure Framework (particularly as the date of Cabinet is the 5th September 2022 and Babergh's Council will consider all the changes to the CIL Expenditure Framework and associated Communication Strategy including the new uplifted community threshold figure of £100,000 on the 20th September 2022).
- This proposal represents an "oven ready" scheme with evidence of wide community support that would provide additional leisure and community facilities for the community and encourages active outdoor play for the children. The project will be funded through collaborative spend, with the CIL fund portion being 70% of the costs, together with funding contributions from the Capel Community Trust and the Parish Council along with funds from a Community Grant.
- The amount of CIL funding is regarded as acceptable under the terms of the CIL Expenditure Framework as the CIL Bid of £100,000.00 represents 70% of the total project costs. It lies within the community infrastructure thresholds of not exceeding £100,000 and 75% of the total costs. This project has been delivered under the Community Infrastructure section within the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List) for Babergh.
- **B22-05 Cockfield – provision of Bus Shelter**
- This proposal seeks to replace an existing longstanding bus shelter which is beyond its shelf life and means of repair but includes significant tangible benefits as it is larger and of improved design with safety rails and has a full maintenance schedule (under guarantees) together with the financial support going forward through Parish Council precept. 28 dwellings are being built through the Jeffreys Green development which is part complete and part under construction. This includes a substantive element of affordable "Local Needs" homes. The Bus stop is on the west bound service and has been in place for many years. Some time ago a local business enterprise donated to the Parish Council an informal shelter for

the site, but this now requires replacement. The East bound service has no formal drop off point with the vehicles alighting passengers in a safe location on the adjacent footway. The bus stop is associated with the Chamber Buses Routes 753 and 754 Sudbury – Bury St Edmunds. The service typically operates 15 return journeys on working days and 13 return journeys on a Saturday. As with many stopping points along the route these are ad hoc and not necessarily compliant to modern standards. The Parish Council however see an increased use and a desire to make the new provision “accessible” and compliant.

- Although the land is not within the ownership of the Parish Council it is land (and a structure that is maintained by them) and therefore there is no need for planning permission for the structure. The CIL Expenditure Framework requires that CIL expenditure is on public land or land that is the subject of a 25 year old lease. In this case neither is applicable as the land is privately owned. However the existing structure was donated together with agreement to use the land as a bus shelter for approximately 25 years and the written agreement of the land owner has now been received to allow for its replacement in the manner proposed. The land has been maintained by the Parish Council for the last 25 years. Advice is being taken about whether this would need any reinforcement in law (through a licence approach or by a lease) and the Shared legal Service consider that a lease is appropriate. This is being discussed with the CIL Bid applicant and a further report will be made to Cabinet on this matter. However a Street Furniture Licence has been issued by Suffolk County Council Highways and a Minor Works Licence will be obtained before works begin on site in accordance with highway requirements. Subject to these matters being satisfactorily addressed through the signing of a lease (as required by the Shared Legal Service) it is considered that the proposal can be supported.
- This proposal represents an “oven ready” scheme with evidence of community support that would provide an improved facility of benefit to its residents. The project will be funded through collaborative spend, with the CIL fund portion (£25,028.08) being 71% of the costs funded from the Ringfenced Fund for Cockfield, together with funding contributions from the Parish Council through their Neighbourhood CIL .It lies within the suggested new community infrastructure thresholds of not exceeding £100,000 and retained figure of 75% of the total costs. This project has been delivered under the Community Infrastructure section within the Infrastructure Funding Statement - Infrastructure List - for Babergh.
- **B22-06 Sudbury Gainsborough House**
- This second CIL Bid of **£152,504.86** (which follows the original approved CIL Bid in 2019 for £ 200,746) relates to a unique project at Gainsborough House which goes to the heart of Sudbury as a town and is a central part of the Towns Vision through the Sudbury Partnership which Gainsborough

House is part of. Costs for the renovation project (which were originally expected to be approximately £7 million) have now risen to £10.6 million which is largely attributable to rising infrastructure costs and covid issues as set out in the Technical Assessment (see Appendix B). In addition, the covid pandemic has significantly altered the original funding strategy and it has meant that there has been a need to update the funding strategy over the lifespan of the project and approach funders for further monies so the project can be completed. The outstanding infrastructure works to allow the project to be completed by November 2022 amount to approximately £2million and this second CIL Bid seeks to secure **£152,504.86** which would represent the penultimate piece of the jigsaw for funding purposes with the exception of **£154,545** which is as yet unsecured. However, Gainsborough House have confirmed that confidence is extremely high that this money will be secured by November 2022. This confidence is based upon Gainsborough House's record of fundraising to date and the conversations that Gainsborough House have had with individual donors and trusts. This project ultimately amounts to a large amount of investment effort and energy aimed at renovating Gainsborough House so that the aim of creating a national centre that is dynamic, sustainable and forward looking can be realised. The whole project of an historic house, galleries, learning spaces and collections of international significance represent a great force for regeneration in Sudbury

- A cross party, cross Council Joint Member Panel has recently carried out a fourth review of the CIL Expenditure Framework (coupled with the CIL Expenditure Framework Communication Strategy). This work was carried out in June 2022 and was due to be presented to Babergh's Council meeting on the 19th July which did not take place. The outcomes of the Joint Member Panel will be presented to Babergh's next Council meeting on the 20th September 2022. One of the proposed changes following this fourth review is an increase in the community threshold figure for CIL Bids from £75,000 to £100,000 per project (subject to the retained % figure of 75% of the total project costs) so as to address rising infrastructure and materials costs. In this particular case the amount of money required to complete the infrastructure costs for this project is **£152,504.86**. Whilst this figure exceeds the current and suggested uplift to the community threshold for community projects and also represents a second CIL Bid against the same project, there are extenuating reasons for supporting this CIL Bid for this very large and unique project which are set out in this technical assessment. It is therefore considered that this CIL Bid should be approved as an acceptable exception to the CIL Expenditure Framework, It is not expected that any decision on this second CIL Bid should set a precedent for other CIL Bids going forward.
- However any offer of further CIL monies to this project must be seen against the value and timings of the state aid that has already been given

such that the Council is not in any breach of any restrictions or regulations in making a decision to award further funds. A legal opinion has been sought and obtained; the following conclusions of which are set out below

- Gainsborough House Society will seek funding from the CIL fund from BDC in the sum of £152,504.86 for the purpose of funding the remaining works to Gainsborough's House. Existing contractors will undertake the works and those works have been costed by the retained Quantity Surveyor. It is understood that due to severe time constraints, it has not been possible for GH to seek alternative quotes.
- Whilst Gainsborough House Society as an economic actor has received support from BDC, it may not constitute a subsidy because the support does not have the potential to cause a distortion in or harm to competition, trade or investment either within the United Kingdom or between the United Kingdom and another country.
- All four limbs of the TCA test (see above) must be met for the support to be a subsidy. This support represents a subsidy to a local, small tourist attraction and is unlikely to affect trade internationally (e.g., trade with any World Trade Organisation member or between the UK and a country with whom it has a Free Trade Agreement) or within the UK. As such, the fourth limb is not met, and the CIL award does support the existence of a subsidy.
- Once a public body has awarded a subsidy more than £100,000, it (here, BDC) will be required under the forthcoming Subsidy Control Act to publish certain information such as the subsidy's purpose, recipient name, date granted and subsidy amount on the subsidies transparency database within 3 months of the award. BEIS currently maintains this transparency database.
- The recommendation of approval for this CIL Bid above takes into account this legal opinion and its conclusions (see above) from the Shared Legal Service.
- **B21-03 Sudbury - Parking area for Community Buses at Alexander Road industrial estate depot**
- The project relates to Go Start Community Transport and the provision of parking spaces suitable for their larger minibuses removing the congestion at their current site at the South Suffolk Business Centre.

- The project is supported by other teams within BDC including full local Councillor support. As well as contributing to the Suffolk County Council, county wide Bus Service Improvement Plan, particularly in rural areas.
- The project will allow Go Start to continue to provide affordable, accessible transport for the elderly, infirm and isolated residents of Sudbury and the surrounding areas.
- The project will make improvements to a currently un-used and overgrown piece of council owned waste ground.
- As such the decision to approve this project was taken under delegated powers and Cabinet are asked to note this decision only.
- **B21-05 - Bentley – improvements to Playing Field Case Lane**
- The Trustees of Bentley Playing Field (Bentley Parish Councillors) after consultation with local residents are proposing to upgrade and provide additionality to the local play area equipment based at the Bentley Play Park. During the local resident consultation, it was identified that the present Play Park had no facilities for a wide range of children and young adults. Therefore, this project and the CIL funding proposes to create a brand new area suitable for a wide range of age groups, this includes adults who would be able to make use of the new gym equipment on the new site.
- CIL is funding the purchase of apparatus for this new site which will provide the local residents with an area that can be used year-round for play and fitness. In separate phases of this project the Parish Council are fully funding the improvement of the drainage of the playing field and providing a suitable ground surface for the new equipment to be based on.
- The amount of CIL funding is regarded as acceptable under the terms of the CIL Expenditure Framework as the CIL Bid of £5,706.00 represents 75% of the total project costs. It lies within the community infrastructure thresholds of not exceeding £75,000 and 75% of the total costs. This project has been delivered under the Community Infrastructure section within the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List) for Babergh. This project has been approved under delegated powers and Cabinet are asked to note this decision only.
- **B22-04.05 - Sudbury - Provision of CCTV, Kingfisher leisure Centre**
- This project is a minor addition to the previous infrastructure project which sought to safeguard community safety through a CCTV system for Sudbury. That particular project has been delivered and came in as an underspend and this proposal to introduce further CCTV equipment in the Kingfisher Leisure Centre area of the town is regarded as acceptable in principle, detail and costs. It supports the District Councils ambitions of creating safe, healthy and vibrant communities, with a strong and diverse local economy. It also supports the strategic partnership aims of the Town

Vision Delivery Group for attracting inward investment, enhancing environmental credentials and proving confidence to those who live, work and visit the town.

- The main objective is to prevent and detect crime within the town centre of Sudbury and to make the community safer for residents, businesses, and visitors. Through the technological advances in CCTV crime can be deterred.
- This project is included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and in the Infrastructure Funding Statement for Babergh through it being classed as a community infrastructure project. The project albeit quite minor in scale supports the strategic CCTV system for the town and safeguards community safety in Sudbury. As such it represents an acceptable exception to the community infrastructure thresholds (being a 100% CIL funded project) and is recommended for approval.

4.2 This CIL Expenditure Programme also provides an up-to-date progress position on all those CIL Bids which have previously been approved in Bid rounds 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,7 8 and 9 (including Cabinet decisions – December 2021) together with a section which outlines the progress of emerging CIL Bids which are being discussed at pre submission stage (Appendix A section C).

5. LINKS TO JOINT CORPORATE PLAN

5.1 The effective spending of CIL monies will contribute to all the three priority areas that Councillors identified in the Joint Corporate Plan. Economy and Environment Housing and Strong and Healthy Communities.

6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 The adopted CIL Expenditure Framework is critical to the funding of infrastructure to support inclusive growth and sustainable development.

6.2 The CIL Regulations stipulate that CIL monies which are collected must be spent on Infrastructure. Before 1st September 2019, each Council was required to publish a list of infrastructure that they will put the CIL monies towards. These lists were known as the “Regulation 123 Lists”. However, on the 1st September 2019, new CIL Regulations were enacted, with the CIL 123 Lists being abolished, and in order to provide clarity given this changing situation, each Council adopted a CIL Position Statement containing a list of infrastructure that it would spend its CIL monies on. The authority for this was provided by a Council decision in March 2019 when the first review of the CIL Expenditure Framework was undertaken, and a revised scheme was agreed (by both Councils). The CIL Position Statements were identical for both Councils.

6.3 However, these replacement documents (known as the CIL Position Statement) were replaced by separate Infrastructure Funding Statements (Infrastructure List) for both Councils. They were both approved by each Councils Cabinet in November 2020.

6.4 CIL is collected and allocated in accordance with the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended). Each Council retains up to 5% of the total CIL income for administration

of CIL. From the remainder, 15% is allocated to Parish or Town Councils (subject to a cap) but where there is a made Neighbourhood Plan in place this figure rises to 25% (without a cap). For those parishes where there is no Parish or Town Council in place the Council retains the monies and spends the CIL Neighbourhood funds through consultation with the Parish.

- 6.5 Since the implementation of CIL for both Councils on the 11th April 2016 there have been ten payments to Town/Parish Councils; these have taken place in October 2016, April and October 2017, April and October 2018, April and October 2019, April and October 2020 and April 2021. At the time that the Neighbourhood payments are made, the 20% set aside for Strategic Infrastructure fund is also undertaken. The Strategic Infrastructure Fund money is stored separately to the Local Infrastructure Fund at this point. In addition, money is also stored in a Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (explained in Paragraph 6.7 below). As this accounting requires Finance to verify the figures, daily accounting in this way would be too cumbersome and resource hungry to carry out. There is no adverse impact on the Bid Round process or cycle to this method of accounting. Indeed, these dates work well with the Bid round process. (Paragraph 1.3 refers).
- 6.6 The remaining 80% of the CIL monies comprises the Local Infrastructure Fund (with the exception of the monies held in the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund - explained in paragraph 6.7 below).
- 6.7 Within the CIL Expenditure Framework, infrastructure provision for major housing developments is prioritised and ringfenced for spend against these housing projects. In this way housing growth occurring within the Districts is supported by infrastructure provision. When commencement of these major housing schemes occurs, monies are collected according to the CIL payment plan in place. If the scale of development is large the CIL payment plan could be up to 5 equal payments collected over a two-year timescale. Smaller developments are required to pay the money in less instalments and over a shorter timescale. The monies (accrued from developments of 10 dwellings and above) are held in a Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund account separate from the Strategic and Local Infrastructure Funds to ensure the monies are safeguarded towards infrastructure supporting these developments. The remaining unallocated monies are known as the “available funds” and it is these that can fund the majority of CIL bids.
- 6.8 These available funds are: -
- Strategic Infrastructure Fund (including interest) as of 31st March 2022 = £1,914,481.07
 - Total Ringfenced Fund as of 31st March 2022 = £4,501,794.28
 - Local Infrastructure Fund available as of 31st March 2022 = £2,638,298.21

6.9 These are expressed as follows:

EXPENDITURE	TOTAL
Total Expenditure allocated in Bid Rounds 1-8 (Strategic Infrastructure Fund only –	£531,103.56

including delegated decisions for bid round 9) Percentage of fund allocated to projects	22%
Total Expenditure allocated in Bid Rounds 1-8 (Ringfenced Fund only - including delegated decisions for bid round 9) Percentage of fund allocated to projects	£196,273.37 4%
Total Expenditure allocated in Bid Rounds 1-8 (Local Infrastructure Fund - only including delegated decisions for bid round 9) Percentage of fund allocated to projects	£1,713,545.10 39%
AVAILABLE FUNDS FOR BID ROUND 9 – September 2022	TOTAL
Total amount available for Bid round 9 (September 2022) (Strategic Infrastructure Fund)	£1,914,481.07
Total amount available for Bid round 9 (September 2022) (Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund)	£4,501,794.28
Total amount available for Expenditure for Bid round 9 (September 2022) (Local Infrastructure Fund)	£2,638,298.21

7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

- 7.1 The detailed framework for CIL expenditure is legally sound and robust and was designed including a legal representative from the Councils Shared Legal Service (who also attended each of the Joint Member workshop sessions) and agreed the adopted CIL Expenditure Framework documents (prior to consideration by Cabinet and Council of both Districts).

- 7.2 This report and the accompanying CIL Expenditure Programme for Babergh District Council – September 2022 – Appendix A (including the technical assessments comprising Appendix B) have also been endorsed as being sound and legally compliant by the Councils Shared Legal Service.
- 7.3 Governance arrangements agreed in April 2018 and which have remained largely unchanged as part of the first second and third CIL Expenditure Framework reviews are clear in respect of the determination of these Bids. They are Cabinet decisions.
- 7.4 Regulation 62 of the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended) required CIL charging authorities to publish monitoring statistics for collection allocations and expenditure of CIL monies by the 31st of December for each year. The 2017, 2018 and 2019 Monitoring Report for Babergh are published on the website (see below).

<https://www.babergh.gov.uk/assets/CIL-and-S106-Documents/Babergh-District-Council-CIL-Monitoring-Report-2016-17.pdf>

<https://www.babergh.gov.uk/assets/CIL-and-S106-Documents/FINAL-BDC-Reg-62-Report.pdf>

<https://www.babergh.gov.uk/planning/community-infrastructure-levy-and-section-106/community-infrastructure-levy-cil/cil-reporting/>

- 7.5 Under the new CIL Regulations 2019, each Council has produced and approved an annual Infrastructure Funding Statement (dealing with both income and expenditure for both CIL, s106 developer contributions and Neighbourhood CIL). There is also a requirement for each Council to produce an “Infrastructure List” – a list of infrastructure projects that each Council is /or will be funding going forward. (Under the new CIL Regulations this must be produced annually by both Councils with the first one meeting a deadline of 31st December 2020.
- 7.6 For Babergh the annual Infrastructure Funding Statement was approved by Cabinet in November 2021. This document (which includes the “Infrastructure List”) was published on the Councils Website in November 2021. (Background Papers refer).

8. RISK MANAGEMENT

8.1 The following have been identified as key risks pertaining to this report.

8.2 Key risks are set out below:

Risk Description	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigation Measures
Failure to allocate expenditure such that if we do not secure investment in infrastructure (schools, health, public transport improvements etc.), then development is stifled and/or unsustainable.	Unlikely (2)	Bad (3)	Adopted Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), secures investment on infrastructure via the planning process (which includes S106). Creating the Joint Corporate Plan, the emerging Joint Local Plan with associated Infrastructure strategy and Infrastructure

Current Risk Score: 6			Delivery Plan and Infrastructure Funding Statement will ensure that infrastructure across both Councils is addressed. New Anglia LEP Economic Strategy, draft created together with the Councils Open for Business Strategy.
Failure to produce a yearly Regulation 62 report would result in non-compliance with the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended) and may mean that Members and the public are not aware of CIL income and expenditure activities. Under the CIL Regulations 2019 an annual Funding Statement is required to address CIL and s106 developer contributions and a list of infrastructure projects ("Infrastructure List") with the first one meeting a deadline of the 31st December 2020. Failure to so will also result in non-compliance with the CIL Regulations (as amended)	Highly Unlikely (1)	Noticeable /Minor (2)	The Infrastructure Team produces the required report which is checked and verified by Financial services/open to review by External Audit. Reminders are set to ensure the report is published by the statutory date. The format of the Monitoring report which in future will be known as the annual Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS) is laid out in the CIL Regulations, so there is no risk in relation to the way the information is presented
Failure to monitor expenditure such that CIL expenditure is not effective.	Unlikely (2)	Bad (3)	The software which supports CIL collection will be used to support CIL expenditure. In addition, it is envisaged that a twice yearly (at least) CIL Expenditure Programme will be produced which will include details of all allocated and proposed CIL expenditure and this together with the software will be used for effective monitoring.

<p>If too high a value is allocated into the Strategic Infrastructure Fund, there is a risk that there would be insufficient Local Infrastructure Funding available to deliver the infrastructure required to mitigate the harm, thereby ensuring sustainable development.</p>	<p>Unlikely (2)</p>	<p>Bad (3)</p>	<p>The Infrastructure Team will continue to monitor all allocations of CIL Funds and the CIL Expenditure Framework review will include this risk as a key element of the review to ensure the level set remains appropriate.</p>
<p>If 25% Neighbourhood CIL is automatically allocated to any Parish/Town councils where there is no Neighbourhood Plan in place, there is a risk that there would be insufficient CIL Funding to allocate to the Strategic Infrastructure Fund and also the risk that there would be insufficient Local Infrastructure Funding available to deliver the infrastructure required to mitigate the harm, thereby ensuring sustainable development.</p>	<p>Unlikely (2)</p>	<p>Bad (3)</p>	<p>The Infrastructure Team will continue to monitor all allocations of Neighbourhood CIL and other CIL Funds and the CIL Expenditure Framework review will include this risk as a key element of the review to ensure allocations of CIL remain appropriate and projects to make development sustainable are able to be delivered.</p>
<p>If commencements of major housing developments were not correctly monitored or the incorrect apportionment of CIL monies were to occur such that monies could not be allocated towards major housing developments, inadequate infrastructure provision would result.</p>	<p>Unlikely (2)</p>	<p>Disaster (4)</p>	<p>The Infrastructure Team will continue to monitor all commencements of development through the service of the required Commencement Notice by developers such that correct apportionment of CIL Funds can be undertaken. The CIL Expenditure Framework review will include this risk as a key element of the review to ensure allocations of CIL remain appropriate and projects to make development sustainable are able to be delivered.</p>

Assurances (for collection of CIL monies)

- 8.3 In September 2016 Internal Audit issued a report in relation to CIL governance processes. The Audit Opinion was High Standard and no recommendations for improvement to systems and processes were made. Table 5 provides a definition of this opinion:

Table 5

	Operation of controls	Recommended action
High standard	Systems described offer all necessary controls. Audit tests showed controls examined operating very effectively and where appropriate, in line with best practice.	Further improvement may not be cost effective.
Effective	Systems described offer most necessary controls. Audit tests showed controls examined operating effectively, with some improvements required.	Implementation of recommendations will further improve systems in line with best practice.
Ineffective	Systems described do not offer necessary controls. Audit tests showed key controls examined were operating ineffectively, with a number of improvements required.	Remedial action is required immediately to implement the recommendations made.
Poor	Systems described are largely uncontrolled, with complete absence of important controls. Most controls examined operate ineffectively with a large number of non-compliances and key improvements required.	A total review is urgently required

- 8.4 On the 18th December 2017 Joint Overview and Scrutiny received a fact sheet on collection and current thinking on CIL expenditure and questions were answered in relation to it. Members of that Committee were advised of the route map towards getting a framework for CIL expenditure formally considered. Members were advised that this would be a key decision for both Councils and would need to go to Cabinet and then full Council. The resulting joint CIL Expenditure Framework, the CIL Expenditure Communications Strategy and the Timeline for the Expenditure of CIL and its Review were adopted by both Councils on the 24th April 2018 (Babergh) and 26th April 2018 (Mid Suffolk).
- 8.5 In May 2018, the results of an investigation by Internal Audit on behalf of the Assistant Director Planning and Communities were produced following complaints regarding the CIL process in place for Babergh and Mid Suffolk. The investigation concluded: -

“The information provided to the public in relation to the CIL process is superior to that found for some other Councils and the team go over and above the requirements when supporting applicants where resources allow them to do so. It is Internal Audit’s opinion that the infrastructure team, even though working under challenging conditions with increasing numbers of applications, are providing a good service to customers and also pro-actively looking for ways to improve where possible.”

“The audit opinion is therefore high standard” – (paragraph 8.3 Table 5 defines high standard classification).

- 8.6 In September 2018 Internal Audit conducted a review of CIL Expenditure processes and released a written report. It contains a Substantial Assurance audit opinion (with two good practice points needing to be addressed relating to further clarification of “best value” (one of the criteria for assessing CIL Bids) and storage of all electronic communication.
- 8.7 On the 19th September 2019, a report was prepared for consideration by Joint Overview and Scrutiny on CIL expenditure with five witnesses including Infrastructure Providers, Cockfield Parish Council, and a member of the Joint Member Panel; the latter of which informed the second review of the CIL Expenditure Framework. The changes agreed under this review process were adopted by both Councils on the 20th April 2020. The CIL Expenditure Framework documents were reviewed for a third and fourth time with changes being approved in March 2021(both Councils) and 19th July 2022 (mid Suffolk) and suggested changes will be considered by Babergh’s Council on the 20th September 2022.

Assurances (for collection and expenditure of CIL Monies)

- 8.8 It is expected that Internal Audit will continue to regularly audit CIL collection allocation and expenditure processes and actual expenditure once any schemes are developed and implemented.
- 8.9 As Members will recall there is a timeline for implementation of CIL and its review which contains key dates for the remainder of the CIL expenditure year cycle (Background papers referred.)
- 8.10 The first review of the CIL Expenditure Framework took place in 2018 following consideration by Joint Overview and Scrutiny in November 2018. The Joint Member Panel also informed the review, and the conclusions were presented to both Councils and adopted in March 2019. This decision by both Councils planned for a further review of the CIL Expenditure Framework. This second review of the CIL Expenditure Framework was adopted by both Council in April 2020. In making this decision Members agreed that a further third review would take place amendments were considered and adopted by both Councils in March 2021. a fourth review occurred in June 2022 and these changes were adopted by Mid Suffolk on the 21st July 2022. Babergh will consider these suggested changes at its meeting on the 20th September 2022
- 8.11 Mid Suffolk agreed that a further (fifth) review would take place at the same time as Bid round 10 (October 2022) so that it is in place before Bid round 11 occurs (in May 2023). Babergh will consider this proposal when it considers the matter at its meeting on the 20th September 2022.

9. CONSULTATIONS

- 9.1 The CIL Expenditure Communications Strategy contains a requirement for both Councils to consult the following bodies or organisations (for a period of 14 days) where valid Bids for their Wards or Parish have been submitted as follows: -
- District Member(s)
 - Parish Council
 - Division County Councillor

9.2 Where appropriate as part of the CIL process and assessment of the Bids, Officers have also taken advice from other Officers within the Council; including the Communities team

9.3 Regular Parish events and Member briefings will continue to be held to familiarise all with the Expenditure Framework and how we can continue to work together to provide infrastructure for the benefit of our communities.

10. EQUALITY ANALYSIS

10.1 Please see attached Screening report.

11. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLICATIONS

11.1 It is important that appropriate infrastructure mitigates harm which could be caused by new development without its provision. CIL is one way in which infrastructure is provided and the CIL Expenditure Framework requires two bid rounds per year supported by the provision of a CIL Expenditure Programme for each Bid round. There is no EIA Assessment required.

12. APPENDICES

Title	Location
A. Appendix A - CIL Expenditure Programme for Babergh – September 2022	ATTACHED
B. Appendix B - Technical Assessment of CIL Bids (B22-02, B22-04, B22-05, B22-06, B21-03 and B21-05) – September 2022	ATTACHED
C. Appendix C Screening report for Equality Analysis	ATTACHED

13. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

13.1 The CIL Expenditure Framework, the CIL Expenditure Framework Communications Strategy and the Infrastructure Funding Statement (including the Infrastructure List) together with the Key CIL Calendar dates for 2022/23 constitute background papers for this report. The original documents were adopted by both Councils in April 2018. They were reviewed, amended and adopted by both Councils in March 2019 and April 2020. They were further reviewed, amended and adopted by both Councils in March 2021 and with further changes agreed by Mid Suffolk on the 19th July 2022 and further changes are suggested for Babergh's Council to consider on the 20th September 2022.

13.2 The following documents are to be considered by Babergh's Council at its meeting on the 20th September 2022 unless otherwise stated. They represent background documents to this report: -

- The CIL Expenditure Framework (amendments suggested for consideration by Council on the 20th September 2022):

<https://babermidsuffolk.moderngov.co.uk/documents/s27832/Appendix%20A%20CIL%20Expenditure%20Framework%20Babergh%20and%20Mid%20Suffolk.pdf>

- Current approved CIL Expenditure Framework March 2021

<https://www.babergh.gov.uk/assets/CIL-and-S106-Documents/CIL-Expenditure-Framework-Babergh-and-Mid-Suffolk-March-2021.pdf>

- The CIL Expenditure Framework Communications Strategy (amendments suggested for consideration by Council on the 20th September 2022):

- <https://babermidsuffolk.moderngov.co.uk/documents/s27833/Appendix%20B%20CIL%20Expenditure%20Framework%20Communication%20Strategy.pdf>

- Current approved CIL Expenditure Framework Communications Strategy March 2021

<https://www.babergh.gov.uk/assets/CIL-and-S106-Documents/CIL-Expenditure-Framework-Communication-Strategy-March-2021.pdf>

- Key CIL Calendar dates - 2022/23 (included in documentation alongside amendments to the CIL Expenditure Framework and the CIL Expenditure Framework Communication Strategy suggested for consideration by Council on the 20th September 2022)

- <https://babermidsuffolk.moderngov.co.uk/documents/s27834/Appendix%20C%20CIL%20Expenditue%20Calender.pdf>

- Current Key CIL Calendar dates - 2021/22

<https://www.babergh.gov.uk/assets/CIL-and-S106-Documents/Key-Dates-CIL-Expenditure-Calendar-2021and-2022.pdf>

- Infrastructure Funding Statement for Babergh – Infrastructure List - November 2021.

<https://www.babergh.gov.uk/assets/CIL-and-S106-Documents/IFS-20-21-Appendix-B-Infrastructure-List-Babergh.pdf>

Authorship: Christine Thurlow

Tel Number 01449 724525

Professional Lead Key Sites and Infrastructure

Email christine.thurlow@babermidsuffolk.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank



The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Expenditure Programme

Babergh District Council

September 2022

Appendix A

CIL EXPENDITURE PROGRAMME SEPTEMBER 2022

KEY FACTS

- The CIL Expenditure Framework, the CIL Communications Strategy were reviewed and changes have been suggested which will be considered by Babergh on 20th September 2022 (Babergh) and the changes were approved on the 21st July 2022 by Mid Suffolk. A Key dates for CIL calendar document 2022/23 has also been published on the website. These can be viewed on the web site using the following hyperlink:
<https://www.babergh.gov.uk/planning/community-infrastructure-levy-and-section-106/community-infrastructure-levy-cil/spending-cil/>
- Bid Round 9 (for Infrastructure providers including Officers from BMSDC, Parishes and Community Groups) occurred between the 1st to 31st May 2022. Previous bid rounds were in May and October 2018, May and October 2019, May and October 2020, May and October 2021.
- The above documents contain the processes, criteria for consideration and governance of the scheme which includes the production of a CIL Expenditure Programme (at least twice yearly – after each Bid Round). This document contains the decisions to be made by Cabinet on Bids and for Cabinet to note decisions which have been made under delegated powers (all as detailed in the Governance section of the CIL Expenditure Framework)
- 20% of all CIL collected (after the 5% Admin charge and the Parish apportionment has been deducted) is being saved for Strategic Infrastructure expenditure (definition in the CIL Expenditure Framework)
- Infrastructure for new housing growth (ten dwellings and over) is prioritised in the CIL Expenditure Framework and the CIL monies collected against such schemes are saved in a different Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund in order that these monies are available for infrastructure for those housing projects in that settlement.
- An Infrastructure Funding Statement – Infrastructure List (IFS) has been produced in December 2021 and is largely but not wholly based on the Councils Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP). The remainder of the CIL monies are available for Local Infrastructure expenditure (as defined in the CIL Expenditure Framework) and are saved in the Local Infrastructure Fund. These three Infrastructure Funds (Strategic, Ringfenced and Local) comprise the “**available funds**” for CIL expenditure.
- The “**available funds**” are stated below together with details of all new starts on new major housing growth projects (10 dwellings and over) within the specified period so that Ringfenced Infrastructure Funds can be understood. Also contained in this CIL Expenditure

programme are details of progress on already approved infrastructure projects (Section B below) together with details of emerging/developing infrastructure projects (Section C below)

- All CIL expenditure must be in accordance with the Infrastructure Funding Statement – Infrastructure List publicised on the Website on the November 2021. The timetable for consideration of CIL bids and the next review of the CIL Expenditure Framework is also on the website: [-https://www.babergh.gov.uk/planning/community-infrastructure-levy-and-section-106/community-infrastructure-levy-cil/spending-cil/](https://www.babergh.gov.uk/planning/community-infrastructure-levy-and-section-106/community-infrastructure-levy-cil/spending-cil/)

CONSIDERATION OF BIDS

- All received bids are acknowledged and all missing or outstanding information must be submitted before the bid can be made valid or progressed to formal determination.
- When a bid is made valid consultation will occur with the Ward District Member(s), the Parish Council, and the Division County Councillor for a period of 14 days.
- All valid bids will be assessed against the Validation Screening and Prioritisation criteria set out in the CIL Expenditure Framework. For each bid there will be a technical assessment which can be viewed in Appendix B.
- The technical assessment of each valid bid contains a conclusion section which the recommendation to Cabinet based on the CIL Expenditure Programme is founded upon.
- The CIL Expenditure Programme for each Council contains decisions to be made by the Cabinet together with bids approved under delegated powers for Cabinet to note as detailed in the Governance section of the Councils CIL Expenditure Framework.

PRIORITISATION OF FUNDS

- The CIL Expenditure Framework requires all planning decisions which approve housing/employment development, and which carry Infrastructure to be provided by CIL and necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission and considered by Planning Committee) to be considered a priority so that the approved development which is ultimately carried out is sustainable.
- As such those major planning applications (over 10 dwellings), which have been commenced and for which CIL monies have been received, shall have the CIL monies kept in a Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund so that the spend against these priority infrastructure projects

can be safeguarded for the community receiving the growth. These monies and the Strategic and Local Infrastructure Fund monies shall be known as the “**available funds**” for expenditure in the bid round process. These schemes where works have started and are subject to CIL will be listed below in this document together with the amount of CIL collected so far. Infrastructure officers continue to work with Infrastructure Providers to ensure that bids are received for infrastructure projects to support these schemes.

PRIORITY HOUSING/EMPLOYMENT SCHEMES (commenced since adoption of CIL – 11th April 2016 to 15th July 2022)

Location	Address	Planning Reference	No of Dwellings Approved	Total CIL due (Phases indicated where appropriate) (P)	CIL received to 15 July 2022	Infrastructure Requirements at the time of the Planning Application
HADLEIGH	Former Brett Works	B/16/00760	65	£9,638.18	£9,638.18	Libraries = £14,256.00 Health = £20,580.00
RAYDON	Land east of King Georges Field, The Street	DC/17/06289	24	£287,750.43	£287,750.43	Affordable housing 8 units
CAPEL ST MARY	Land north and west of Capel Community Church, Days Road	B/17/00122	97	£1,023,576.96	£1,023,576.96	Health (amount unspecified) Travel; Plan Evaluation (£1,000 per annum) Travel Plan Implementation (£74,071.00)
LONG MELFORD	Land on the south side of Bull Lane	B/16/00777	71	£719,000.79	£719,000.79	Education - £219,258.00 Early Years - £42,637.00 Health - £22,360.00 Passenger Transport - £35,000.00 Libraries - £15,336.00
ASSINGTON	Land north of the Hollies The Street	DC/17/06170	10	£178,411.15	£178,411.15	None
SUDBURY	Walnut tree Hospital Walnut tree Lane	DC/17/03677	Variation to 55	£42,319.46	£42,319.46	None – Comments made under B/14/00449/FUL

Location	Address	Planning Reference	No of Dwellings Approved	Total CIL due (Phases indicated where appropriate) (P)	CIL received to 15 July 2022	Infrastructure Requirements at the time of the Planning Application
SUDBURY	Walnut tree Hospital Walnut tree Lane	B/16/01192	49	£9,072.48	£9,072.48	None
BRANTHAM	Land North of Windy ridge, Brantham Hill	DC/18/01020	13	£693.41	£693.41	None
SUDBURY	Easterns, 31 Station Road	B/16/01670	15	£46,203.58	£46,203.58	Primary School: £12,181.00 Library Contribution: £3,240.00
LAVENHAM	Land adjacent to Bear's Lane	DC/17/04024	24	£264,990.44	£264,990.44	Primary School: £146,172.00 Secondary School: £91,755.00 Secondary School 16+: £19,907.00 CIL funding at a minimum cost of £73,086 Library Contribution: £5,184.00 Public Transport: £3,000.00 (Bus Stops)
STUTTON	Land West Of 35 - 40 Stutton Close	DC/19/01708	34	£439,950.41	£439,950.41	Affordable rented dwellings 7 Ecological Mitigation £5,722.88
GREAT WALDINGFIELD	Land Off Bantocks Road	DC/18/04309	32	£249,070.03	£249,070.03	None

Location	Address	Planning Reference	No of Dwellings Approved	Total CIL due (Phases indicated where appropriate) (P)	CIL received to 15 July 2022	Infrastructure Requirements at the time of the Planning Application
COCKFIELD	Land To The West Of The Plough And Fleece Inn, Great Green	DC/19/02020	10	£185,368.30	£148,294.64	Response to DC/18/00306 consultation 02.02.2018: Primary School: £36,543.00 Secondary School: £36,710.00 Secondary School 16+: £19,907.00 Library Contribution: £2,160.00
LAVENHAM	Land South Of Howlett Of Lavenham, Melford Road	DC/19/03185	25	£271,572.19	£217,257.19	Primary School: £97,448.00 Secondary School: £110,130.00 Secondary School 16+: £19,907.00 Pre-School Provision: CIL contribution for early years of £18,273.00 Library Contribution: £6,480.00
MONKS ELIEGH	Site of Former Monks Leigh C P School, Churchfield, Monks Leigh, Colchester, Suffolk, IP7 7JH	DC/19/01463	17	£200,437.51	£40,087.50	Response to B/16/01718 consultation 16.01.2017: Primary School: £48,724.00 Pre-School: £12,182.00 Library Contribution: £3,672.00

Location	Address	Planning Reference	No of Dwellings Approved	Total CIL due (Phases indicated where appropriate) (P)	CIL received to 15 July 2022	Infrastructure Requirements at the time of the Planning Application
HADLEIGH	Land South of Ipswich Road, Hadleigh, IP7 6BE	DC/17/03902	170	£655,973.33	£655,973.33	Early Years - £196,110.00 Open Space - £67,216.00 Public Rights of Way - £100,00.00 Highways – £250,000.00 Travel Plan - £70,460.00
WHERSTEAD	Klondyke Field, West of Bourne Hill, Wherstead, Suffolk	DC/20/00701	75	£736,468.42	£589,521.52	SPA Contribution – £15,000.00 Sustainable Transport Contribution - £22,000.00
ACTON	Land South Of Tamage Road, Acton, Suffolk	DC/19/03126	100	£919,621.86	£919,621.86	Bus Stop Kerbs Contribution - £5,000.00 Footpath Improvement Works Contribution - £12,500.00 Public Transport Contribution - £50,000.00 School Transport Financial Contribution - £165,347.00
SHOTLEY	Former Peninsula Boatyard, King Edward VII Drive, Shotley, Suffolk	DC/19/05538	12	£14,740.37	£14,740.37	None
CAPEL ST MARY	Land East Of Longfield Road And Little Tufts, Capel St Mary, IP9 2UD	DC/19/02877	100	£959,235.10	£767,388.16	Habitat Mitigation - £14,000

Location	Address	Planning Reference	No of Dwellings Approved	Total CIL due (Phases indicated where appropriate) (P)	CIL received to 15 July 2022	Infrastructure Requirements at the time of the Planning Application
LAVENHAM	Land North West And South West Of, Norman Way, Lavenham, Suffolk	DC/18/03615	25	£574,207.07	£316,033.14	Transport - £8,000 Public Rights of Way – £2,379 Shared ownership - £80,000
HADLEIGH	1 Middle Meadow, Hadleigh, Ipswich, Suffolk, IP7 6FW	DC/19/04128	15	£102,133.93	£81,707.14	None
BENTLEY	Oakleigh, Capel Road, Bentley, Ipswich, IP9 2DW	B/17/00003	16	P1-£0.00 P2-£75,077.98 P3-£87,124.35 P4-£0.00 P5-£72,764.63	P1-£0.00 P2-£0.00 P3-£0.00 P4-£0.00 P5-£0.00	Habitats Contribution - £1,200
BRANTHAM	Brantham Place, Church Lane, Brantham, Manningtree, Suffolk, CO11 1QA	DC/18/05177	15	P1 -£129,125.63 P2 -£39,845.77	P1-£51,650.26 P2-£0.00	Footpath – £25,000 Habitat Contribution - £121.89 Habitat Sites Contribution - £2,437.80
LONG MELFORD	Land To The East Of, Station Road, Long Melford, Suffolk, CO10 9HP	DC/21/02319	150	£1,380,036.43	£345,009.11	Early Years - £1,182.20 per dwelling Footpath - £30,030.00 Resident Travel Pack - £115 per dwelling
SUDBURY	Land North of Woodhall Business Park, Sudbury, Suffolk	B/15/01718	1150	Pre-CIL	Pre-CIL	Preschool - £1,000,000 Primary School - £5,005,728 Temporary Classroom – £250,000 Crossing Contribution – £75,000

Location	Address	Planning Reference	No of Dwellings Approved	Total CIL due (Phases indicated where appropriate) (P)	CIL received to 15 July 2022	Infrastructure Requirements at the time of the Planning Application
						Bus Service - £600,000 Northern Road Crossing - £75,000 Highways - £45,000 Pedestrian & Cycle - £130,000 Rights of Way - £225,340 RTP1 - £60,000 Travel Plan - £50,000 Travel Plan - £1,000 Town Centre Bus - £250,000 Waldingfield Road Crossing - £75,000 Play Spaces - £340,500 Sports Pavilion Commuted Sum - £811,540 Sports Pavilion - £1,320,000 Village Hall - £50,000 Village Hall Commuted Sum - £1,105,000 Library - £187,000 NHS - £417,151 Police - £141,847 Top Up Police - £422,500 Waste - £126,500 Woodhall - £12,900
HINTLESHAM	Land To The East Of, Duke Street, Hintlesham, Suffolk	DC/21/03718	14	£247,794.11	£0.00	RAMS - £121.89 per dwelling

Location	Address	Planning Reference	No of Dwellings Approved	Total CIL due (Phases indicated where appropriate) (P)	CIL received to 15 July 2022	Infrastructure Requirements at the time of the Planning Application
BRANTHAM	Land South Of, Slough Road, Brantham, Suffolk	DC/21/04359	65	£832,910.59	£0.00	None

AVAILABLE FUNDING FOR BID ROUND NINE (May 2022)

Total Amount of CIL monies available up to 31/03/2022 (after deduction of the 5% CIL admin charge, the making of Neighbourhood CIL payments including payments made by 28th October 2021, allocation of 20% save for the Strategic Infrastructure Fund, the prioritisation of funds to meet the infrastructure costs associated with major housing developments (Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund) and approved Bids from Bid Rounds 1,2 ,3 ,4 ,5, 6, 7 and 8: -

- **Strategic Infrastructure Fund** (including bank interest) - £1,914,481.07
- **Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund** – (Prioritisation of funds for major housing growth projects) £4,501,794.28
- **Local Infrastructure Fund** - £2,638,298.21

Total expenditure of CIL Bids in this programme in September 2022

- Strategic Infrastructure Fund - £0
- Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund - £168,646.15 (Capel St Mary, Sudbury, Cockfield)
- Local Infrastructure Fund - £122,033.72

Conclusions

6 CIL Bids are included in this CIL Expenditure Programme with spend from the Ringfenced and Local Infrastructure Funds. The remaining CIL Bids which are as yet undetermined will continue to be worked on. However, all will be subject to the amendments made to the CIL Expenditure Framework and the CIL Expenditure Framework Communication Strategy through the fourth review approved in July 2022. Any changes affecting those Bids will be discussed with the Bid authors. If the above recommendations to Cabinet to approve and note the CIL Bids at this stage in round 9 are accepted, the remaining unspent CIL monies will be carried forward for spending in Bid round 10 in October 2022 (Bid round 9 opened on the 1st May 2022) as set out as below: -

- **Strategic Infrastructure Fund** (including bank interest) for Bid round 10 (Cabinet March 2023 or earlier) - £1,914,481.07
- **Ringfenced Infrastructure funds** (Prioritisation of funds for major housing growth projects) for Bid round 10 (Cabinet March 2023 or earlier) - £4,333,148.13
- **Local Infrastructure Fund** for Bid round 10 (Cabinet March 2023 or earlier) - £2,516,264.49

A. LIST OF BIDS TAKEN FORWARD INTO AND/OR RECEIVED FOR BID ROUND NINE (1ST May to 31ST May 2022) FOR BABERGH DISTRICT COUNCIL (including recommendations for Cabinet to make decisions or for Cabinet to note the delegated decisions already made).

The following table comprises a list of CIL Bids received before or within Bid Round Nine (1st May- 31st May 2022). Not all the Bids are valid; with either missing information, no formal approvals for the proposed infrastructure or further investigation or clarification being sought. Those bids where no decision can be made or where they are invalid will be carried forward to the next CIL Expenditure Programme or bid round (unless no substantive progress has been made in a 12-month period from submission at which point, they will be treated as withdrawn).

This list should be read in conjunction with Appendix B which comprises the technical assessments upon which the recommendations are based.

Bid Ref.	Location by Parish/ Address	Type of Bid and Bidder	Infrastructure Funding Statement compliant	Amount of Money Sought	100% CIL Monies sought (Y/N)	Total costs and other sources of funding	Consultation and expiry date (on valid Bids only)	Valid	Reason(s) why Bid is invalid	Recommendation to Cabinet for decision or delegated decision (for Cabinet to note)
B19-13	SHOTLEY Kidzone,	Shotley Kidzone Building Extension	Yes - Provision of additional pre-school places at existing establishments	£146,000	No	Net cost £150,000. £1,500 Parish Council (decision due 30/11/19) £2,500 Shotley Kidzone	N/A	No	Bid is invalid - No business case has been provided. Only one quote received. Planning permission not yet granted for the works so not all formal approvals are in place. Further investigation is required over the lease and the relationship with the primary school needs full understanding as Kidzone currently use space from the school. Matter is being	Held over whilst education need established for the project with SCC including any timescales for delivery based on committed growth in the locality. Project scope/ costings/ need/ formal approvals are awaited. Outstanding issues require consideration and resolution. Cabinet decision ultimately

Bid Ref.	Location by Parish/ Address	Type of Bid and Bidder	Infrastructure Funding Statement compliant	Amount of Money Sought	100% CIL Monies sought (Y/N)	Total costs and other sources of funding	Consultation and expiry date (on valid Bids only)	Valid	Reason(s) why Bid is invalid	Recommendation to Cabinet for decision or delegated decision (for Cabinet to note)
									discussed with SCC in respect any future expansion of the primary School given committed development in Shotley and catchment of school . The start of development being built out at the Ganges site is likely to be critical to this assessment.	
B21-03	SUDBURY Go Start Community Transport	To provide a minibus car park in Sudbury for Go Start Community Transport	Yes, Provision of Community Facilities	£2,024.72	No - 75% (of the total costs)	Total costs £2665.00 BDC Communities Minor Grant £665.00	Yes, Consultation complete	Valid	N/A	Recommendation to Cabinet to note approved CIL Bid B21-03 (delegated decision) for £2,024.72 from the Local Infrastructure Fund.

Bid Ref.	Location by Parish/ Address	Type of Bid and Bidder	Infrastructure Funding Statement compliant	Amount of Money Sought	100% CIL Monies sought (Y/N)	Total costs and other sources of funding	Consultation and expiry date (on valid Bids only)	Valid	Reason(s) why Bid is invalid	Recommendation to Cabinet for decision or delegated decision (for Cabinet to note)
B21-05	BENTLEY - Bentley Village Playing Field,	Trustees of Bentley Playing Field (Bentley Parish Cllrs)	Yes – Provision of Community Facilities	£5706.00	N	S106 – £1,159.59	Yes Consultation complete	Yes	N/A	Recommendation for Cabinet to note the delegated decision for CIL Bid B21-05 for £5,706.00 from the Local Infrastructure Fund
B21-06	ACTON Primary School, Lambert Drive, Acton, Sudbury, Suffolk	Acton Playgroup	No	£9,000	Yes	£9,000	No Bid invalid at present	No	Bid is submitted for a school premises but not received from SCC as Infrastructure Provider as CIL Expenditure requires. Also Bid is for 100% District CIL funds and should be collaborative - Bid being discussed	Delegated decision on CIL Bid should discussions result in a favourable outcome

Bid Ref.	Location by Parish/ Address	Type of Bid and Bidder	Infrastructure Funding Statement compliant	Amount of Money Sought	100% CIL Monies sought (Y/N)	Total costs and other sources of funding	Consultation and expiry date (on valid Bids only)	Valid	Reason(s) why Bid is invalid	Recommendation to Cabinet for decision or delegated decision (for Cabinet to note)
B21-0	SUDBURY Provision of new entrance and new café/visitor facility	BDC Regeneration Team	Yes	£100,000	No	To be advised	No Bid invalid at present	No	No grant of planning permission for any design of the café which is currently being considered. Costings will also need to be provided with three quotes.	Bid held in abeyance until it can be validated, and consultation can occur The Bid will be determined by Cabinet ultimately
B22-01	BURSTALL- Village Hall improvements	Community Bid – The Burstall Village Hall Committee	Yes - Provision of community facilities	Unknown at this stage	unknown	Unknown at this stage	No, Bid invalid at present	No	Form incomplete No Costings or funding detail given No business case provided No quotes received No delivery timescale provided Planning permission required?	Held over until later Cabinet meeting. Cabinet decision ultimately

Bid Ref.	Location by Parish/ Address	Type of Bid and Bidder	Infrastructure Funding Statement compliant	Amount of Money Sought	100% CIL Monies sought (Y/N)	Total costs and other sources of funding	Consultation and expiry date (on valid Bids only)	Valid	Reason(s) why Bid is invalid	Recommendation to Cabinet for decision or delegated decision (for Cabinet to note)
B22-02	CAPEL ST MARY – Play Area Improvements and additional car parking spaces at the Community Centre	Community Infrastructure Bid – Capel St Mary Community Trust and Capel St Mary Parish Council	Yes Provision of community facilities	£100,000.00	No – 70% of total project cost	Total project cost - £143,116.00 Community Grant Funding - £15,000.00 Parish Council and Community Trust - £28,116.00	Yes, consultation to commence 21st July 2022. Expiry date 4th August 2022 (pending planning permission)	Yes	Planning permission now granted	Recommendation to Cabinet to approve CIL Bid B22-02 for £100,000.00 (pending planning application approval) from the Ringfenced Fund for Capel St Mary
B22-03	CAPEL ST MARY – Play Area Improvements at the Playing Field	Community Infrastructure Bid – Capel St Mary Community Trust and Capel St Mary Parish Council	Yes Provision of community facilities	£100,000.00	No – 62% of total project cost	Total project cost - £160,180.64 S106 Funding - £25,483.23 Parish Council and Community Trust - £34,697.41	Yes, consultation to commence 19 th July 2022. Expiry date 2 nd August 2022 (pending planning permission)	No	Planning application awaiting approval	Held over until later Cabinet meeting. Cabinet decision ultimately
B22-04	SUDBURY – provision of CCTV – Kingfisher Leisure Centre	Babergh (Communities team)	Yes Provision of community facilities	£5,416.21	Yes	£5,416.21 (net costs excluding VAT)	Yes consultation for 14 days commenced 8 th July 2022	Yes	N/A	Recommendation to Cabinet to note approved CIL Bid B22-04 (delegated decision) for £5,416.21 from the

Bid Ref.	Location by Parish/ Address	Type of Bid and Bidder	Infrastructure Funding Statement compliant	Amount of Money Sought	100% CIL Monies sought (Y/N)	Total costs and other sources of funding	Consultation and expiry date (on valid Bids only)	Valid	Reason(s) why Bid is invalid	Recommendation to Cabinet for decision or delegated decision (for Cabinet to note)
										Local Infrastructure Fund.
B22-05	COCKFIELD – Provision of a bus shelter	Cockfield Parish Council	Yes, Provision of bus passenger transport facilities	£25,028.08	No	Total cost of project £35,028.08 (net costs Parish can claim back VAT) £10,000 Cockfield Parish Council Neighbourhood CIL	Yes consultation for 14 days commenced 8 th July 2022	No	Advice received from the Shared Legal Services states that a lease for the land should be secured	Recommendation to Cabinet to approve CIL Bid B22-05 for £25,028.08 from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Cockfield) subject to the prior completion of a lease for the land (of at least 25 years).
B22-06	SUDBURY – Provision of Community facilities Gainsborough House	Gainsborough House	Yes Provision of community facilities	£152,504.86	No	Total CIL Bid £152,504.86 Other sources of funding for the remainder of project are set out in the Technical Assessment for the CIL Bid	Yes consultation for 14 days commenced 8 th July 2022	Yes	N/A	Recommendation to Cabinet to approve CIL Bid B22-06 for £152,504.86 with £43,618.07 from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Sudbury) and £108,886.79 from the Local Infrastructure Fund.

Bid Ref.	Location by Parish/ Address	Type of Bid and Bidder	Infrastructure Funding Statement compliant	Amount of Money Sought	100% CIL Monies sought (Y/N)	Total costs and other sources of funding	Consultation and expiry date (on valid Bids only)	Valid	Reason(s) why Bid is invalid	Recommendation to Cabinet for decision or delegated decision (for Cabinet to note)
B22-07	GREAT CORNARD – Upgrade of Parking Facilities at the Great Cornard Allotment Car Park	Community Infrastructure – Great Cornard Parish Council	Yes Provision of community facilities	£51,000.00	No – 73% of total project cost	Total project cost - £70,000.00 Parish Council Neighbourhood CIL funding £19,034.38	No, Bid invalid at present	No	Form incomplete Only estimated costs given No business case provided Only one quote received	Bid held in abeyance until it can be validated, and consultation can occur The Bid will be determined by Cabinet ultimately
B22-08	GREAT WALDINGFIELD – Village Hall Car Park Extension	Community Infrastructure – Great Waldingfield Village Hall Management committee with Great Waldingfield Parish Council	Yes Provision of community facilities	£26,352.51	No – 73% of total project cost	Total project cost - £36,352.51 Great Waldingfield Village Hall Reserves £10,000.00	No, Bid invalid at present	No	No business case received No quotes received	Held over until later Cabinet meeting decision Cabinet decision ultimately
B22-09	LINDSEY – Red Rose Friends Community Farm	Community Infrastructure – The Befriending Scheme	Yes Provision of community facilities	£79,064.00	No – 70% of total project cost	Total project cost - £113,064.00 Portacabin Grant	No, Bid invalid at present	No	Insufficient quotes received Planning permission granted?	Held over until later Cabinet meeting decision Cabinet decision ultimately

Bid Ref.	Location by Parish/ Address	Type of Bid and Bidder	Infrastructure Funding Statement compliant	Amount of Money Sought	100% CIL Monies sought (Y/N)	Total costs and other sources of funding	Consultation and expiry date (on valid Bids only)	Valid	Reason(s) why Bid is invalid	Recommendation to Cabinet for decision or delegated decision (for Cabinet to note)
						funding £16,500 Colchester Catalyst £10,000 Fundraising £7,500				
B22-10	MONKS ELEIGH – Play Area Improvements	Community Infrastructure – Monks Eleigh Parish Council	Yes Provision of community facilities	?	?	Total project cost - £28,284.48 Community Grants £?	No, Bid invalid at present	No	Form incomplete No business case received Only one quote per item of play equipment received Confirmation of Grants allocation required No delivery timescale provided	Held over until later Cabinet. Cabinet decision ultimately
B22-11	STUTTON – New Activity Room	Community Infrastructure – Stutton	Yes Provision of community facilities	£75,000.00	No – 74% of project costs	Total project cost - £102,000.00	No, Bid invalid at present	No	Only one quote provided	Bid held in abeyance until it can be validated, and consultation can occur

Bid Ref.	Location by Parish/ Address	Type of Bid and Bidder	Infrastructure Funding Statement compliant	Amount of Money Sought	100% CIL Monies sought (Y/N)	Total costs and other sources of funding	Consultation and expiry date (on valid Bids only)	Valid	Reason(s) why Bid is invalid	Recommendation to Cabinet for decision or delegated decision (for Cabinet to note)
		Community Association				Parish Council £25,000.00 Stutton Community Association £2,000.00			Planning permission required.	The Bid will be determined by Cabinet ultimately
B22-12	SUDBURY – Refurbishment of Mill Acre	Community Infrastructure – Sudbury Town Council	Yes Provision of community facilities	£25,000.00	No – 50% of project cost	Total project cost - £50,000.00 Benefactor £25,000.00	No, Bid invalid at present	No	Form incomplete Project costs not confirmed No business case has been provided No quotes provided Planning permission required?	Bid held in abeyance until it can be validated, and consultation can occur The Bid will be determined by Cabinet ultimately

B. PROGRESS OF BIDS APPROVED IN PREVIOUS BID ROUNDS (Bid Rounds 1,2,3,4, 5, 6, 7 and 8

Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
B02-18	VILLAGE HALL - Monks Eleigh - Hearing Loop	533	£10,750.00	£10,750.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2018.CIL Bid offer letter issued 25/9/18. Offer accepted. Project completed.
B03-18	OPEN SPACE – Cockfield Mackenzie Community Open Space Project	228	£27,843.51	£19,809.00	£8,034.51 Local Infrastructure Fund	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2018.CIL Bid offer letter issued 25/9/18.Offer accepted Commenced Land exchange and completed on the 19/6/19.Issues with access to site which prevented completion of the project. Will reapply if expiry date is reached before the project is complete. Project not complete but deadline for spend reached so part payment made.
B04-18	OPEN SPACE – Cockfield Glebe Community Open Space Project	539	£21,160.94	£20,356.02	£804.92 Local Infrastructure Fund	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2018.CIL Bid offer letter issued 25/9/18. Offer accepted. Glebe land purchased from Diocese on 19/6/19. Land Registry Project completed under budget and monies returned to the Local Infrastructure Fund.

Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
B06-18	COMMUNITY FACILITY – East Bergholt - Tiered Seating East Bergholt High School	638	£45,000.00	£45,000.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2019.CIL offer issued 13/3/19.Offer accepted. Project Complete
B07-18	VILLAGE HALL – Preston St Mary - Kitchen and Toilet Extension	635	£130,091.00	£0.00	£130,091.00 Local Infrastructure Fund	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2019 CIL Bid offer letter Issued 13/03/19. Offer accepted. CIL Bid has expired and the money has been returned to the Local Infrastructure Fund. New bid included in this report for Cabinet approval. Project time deadline expired.
B09-18	VILLAGE HALL - Cockfield kitchen & electric supply	529	£9,928.76	£9,928.76	£0.00	Noted by Cabinet in September 2018. CIL Bid offer letter issued 25/9/19Offer accepted Work commenced - Phase one of electrical works has begun in the kitchens. Materials & appliances being ordered. Remaining £7,738.64 to be claimed – Project Completed
B10-18	GREEN ENERGY - Lindsey Electric Vehicle Charging Point	532	£5,534.34	£5,534.34	£0.00	Noted by Cabinet in September 2018.CIL Bid offer letter issued 25/9/19Offer accepted. Project Completed
B12-18	COMMUNITY FACILITY - Lavenham Community Hub	634	£30,000.00	£30,000.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2018.CIL Bid offer letter issued

Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
						13/3/19. Offer accepted. Project Completed - Building transferred on 20/05/2019
B13-18	GREEN ENERGY - Lavenham Electric Vehicle Charging Point	637	£33,455.99	£28,688.02	£4,767.97 Local Infrastructure Fund	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2019 CIL Bid offer letter issued 13/3/19 Offer accepted. Work commenced on 10 July but was aborted due to large number of tourists in the area. The contractor has applied to Suffolk CC to install traffic lights on Church Street. Expected restart of the works is September 2019. Project complete. Came in under budget.
B14-18	OPEN SPACE - Cockfield Culvert Open Space Project	603	£3,340.00	£2803.50	£536.50 Local Infrastructure Fund	Noted by Cabinet in March 2019. CIL Bid offer letter issued 13/3/19 Offer accepted Started – Offered £3,340 (as per CIL Bid application) Land exchange completed on 19/6/19. Exchange documentation outstanding. Update 28/07/2020, project at 50% completion, hopefully this will be completed by December 2020. Project Complete
B19-18	SPORTS AND FITNESS – Sudbury Kingfisher Leisure Pool (Strategic Fund)	636	£100,000.00	£100,000.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2019 .CIL Bid offer letter issued 13/3/19 Offer accepted CIL monies paid towards the project in March 2020.

Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
						Money transferred to offset expenditure to date – Project Complete for CIL purposes
B19-01	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Long Melford (Village Hall improvements)	474	£6,808.00	£5,778.00	£1,030.00 Local Infrastructure Fund	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2019. CIL Bid offer letter issued 18/9/19. Offer accepted Works undertaken and project completed and coming under the allocated budget.
B19-02 Page 116	COMMUNITY FACILITY –Long Melford Village Hall New Car Park Chemist Lane	244	£26,044.16	£21,536.80	£4,507.36 Local Infrastructure Fund	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2019. CIL Bid offer letter issued 18/9/19. Offer accepted. Works undertaken and project completed coming in under allocated budget.
B19-04	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Sudbury Gainsborough House	621	£200,746.00	£200,746.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2019. CIL Bid offer letter issued 18/9/19. Offer accepted. Update 28/07/2020, Project progressing well, working to a six-week delay on handover due to Covid 19. Handover estimated for end of August 2021. To be reopened late 2021- early 2022. Update 2021 – Work progressing well although there have been some hold ups due to Covid. Handover is due to take place Nov 2021 with opening

Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
						planned for Spring 2022. Funds have been claimed so this project complete from a finance perspective. Another bid in for additional funds to complete project.
B19-07	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Monks Eleigh Village Hall New car Park	632	£28,765.32	£28,765.32	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2019 CIL Bid offer letter issued 18/9/19. Offer accepted – Project completed
B17-18 page 117	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Assington befriending scheme - Building to provide permanent toilets on site, disabled ramps storage	416	£26,800.00	£26,800.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2019.CIL Bid offer letter issued 18/9/19. Offer accepted. Project underway, first instalment paid over to the scheme. Awaiting further requests for payment Project complete
B19 -10	COMMUNITY FACILITIES – East Bergholt Constable Memorial Hall – Village hall improvements	666	£14,333.00	£14,333.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2020. CIL Bid offer letter issued 19/3/20 Offer accepted. Project Complete
B19 -15	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Lavenham – Car Park Water Street	667	£190,000.00	£155,914.15	£34,085.85 Local Infrastructure Fund	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2020. CIL Bid offer letter issued 17/3/20. Offer accepted. 03/08/2020 Update – Work ongoing in relation to this bid, timescale being affected by Covid 19 restrictions. Update Jan 2021 – Site has not been acquired yet due to discussions with National

Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
						Grid as to the restoration work on the gas holder. Background work is in place so that work can start as soon as the site is acquired. Project Complete
B19 -16	OPEN SPACE – Cockfield Great Green	665	£25,000.00	£25,000.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2020. CIL Bid offer letter issued 16/3/20.Offer accepted. Project Complete.
B19 -17 Page 118	BUS PASSENGER TRANSPORT IMPROVEMENT Capel St Mary – Bus Shelter Thorney Road	668	£8,000.00	£6,348.99	£1,651.01 Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund	Noted by Cabinet in March 2020. CIL Bid offer letter issued 17/3/20.Offer accepted. Project Completed under budget. Funds returned to the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund.
B19 -05	OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION FACILITY - Newton – Play equipment	673	£87,891.90	£82,213.33		Agreed by Cabinet in June 2020. CIL Bid offer letter issued 9/6/20.Offer accepted 11/06/2020 First staged payment made. Update Jan 2021 – project has started with stage payments made.
B19 -06	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Chelsworth – Community facility All Saints Church	674	£136,244.00	£136,243.22	£0.78 Local Infrastructure Fund	Agreed by Cabinet in June 2020. CIL Bid offer letter issued 9 /6/20.Offer accepted 23/06/2020. Project Completed under budget with funds returned to the Local Infrastructure Fund.

Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
B19 -14	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Sudbury – St Peters	675	£75,288.00	£75,288.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in June 2020. CIL Bid offer letter issued 9/6/20. Offer accepted 26/06/2020 Update June 2021 Main contractors due on site in September, enabling work to be undertaken in August 2021. Project complete from a finance perspective
B20-01	HEALTH – Hadleigh Health Centre	684	£3,526	£3,526.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2020. Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted. Project Complete.
B20-02	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Holbrook Village Hall	683	£9,900	£9,900.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in September 2020. Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted Project Complete.
B19-18	OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION FACILITY – Chattisham and Hintlesham – Improved surface for play area and new adult fitness equipment	700	£9,920.83	£9,920.83	£0.00	Agreed by delegated decision in September 2020. Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted. Cabinet to note decision in December 2020. Update Jan 2021 - Delegated decision noted at December 2020 Cabinet. Work has started but is now delayed due to the current lockdown. Project completion now estimated for June 2021. Project Complete.
B20-04	COMMUNITY FACILITY - Lavenham Tenter Piece Sheltered Accommodation	715	£36,054.00	£36,054.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in December 2020. Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted. Project started and first

Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
						stage payment made. Project complete
B20-05	COMMUNITY FACILITY - Lavenham Prentice Street Car Park	716	£109,000.00	£108,496.76		Agreed by Cabinet in December 2020. Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted. Costs for Car Park works paid out, EV charger part of bid as yet to be completed
B20-11	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Sudbury and Hadleigh CCTV Arrangements	714	£183,000.00	£154,379.89		Agreed by Cabinet in December 2020. Bid offer letter issued. Staged payments made
B20-06	WASTE INFRASTRUCTURE – Sudbury – HVO Fuel Tank	722	£50,000.00	£32,762.50	£17,237.50 Strategic Infrastructure Fund	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2021 – Bid offer letter issued. Project Complete
B20-12	COMMUNITY FACILITY - Long Melford – Upgrade to Old School car park including additional spaces lighting and drainage and EV charging	727	£22,000.00	£22,000.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2021 – Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted 23/03/2021 Project Complete.
B20-15	COMMUNITY FACILITY - Lavenham Upgrade to public toilets including new room for Parish Office - Church Street Car Park	726	£43,440.00	£43,440.00	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in March 2021 – Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted 16/03/2021. Project Complete
B20-16	OPEN SPACE FACILITY – Cockfield Green Ridge Howe Lane	723	£15,799.36	£0.00		Agreed by Cabinet in March 2021 – Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted 25/03/2021.

Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
B20-14	EDUCATION – Holbrook - School extension for the creation of 10 places	733	237,750.00	£0.00		Agreed by Cabinet in June 2021 – Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted
B21-01	COMMUNITY FACILITY - Extension to Preston St Mary Village Hall	734	£109,000.00	£104,267.08	£0.00	Agreed by Cabinet in June 2021 – Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted and works started onsite. Awaiting final claim.
B21-02	COMMUNITY FACILITY LAVENHAM Prentice Street Car Park – Power Supply to EV Charging points	732	£9,999.99	£9,999.99	£0.00	Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted and works started on site Project Complete
B21-03	COMMUNITY FACILITY SUDBURY Go Start Community Transport (Registered Charity) Provision of Community Facilities and Transport Improvements	774	£2,024.72	£2,024.72	£0.00	Delegated Decision included in this report to be noted by Cabinet on the 5 th of September 2022 Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted and works started on site Project Complete
B21-04	EDUCATION – BRANTHAM - Brooklands Primary School Education – Suffolk County Council	768	£345,360.00			Bid offer letter issued. Offer accepted and works started on site
B21-05	COMMUNITY FACILITY – BENTLEY - Bentley Village Playing Field, Bentley	775	£5,706.00			Delegated Decision included in this report to be noted by Cabinet on the 5 th of September 2022 Offer letter sent and accepted
B22-04	COMMUNITY FACILITY – SUDBURY – CCTV at Kingfisher Leisure Centre	781	£5,416.21			Delegated Decision included in this report to be noted by Cabinet on the 5 th of September 2022 Offer letter sent.



Bid Ref	Project	Project Ref (Exacom)	Amount of CIL Funding Allocated	Project Spend	Unspent Funds Returned	Project Spend
Total CIL funding allocated in Bid Rounds 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7,8 and 9 (including delegated decisions from Bid Round 9)			£2,440,922.03	£1,599,504.03	£202,747.40	



C. LIST OF EMERGING INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS / CIL BIDS (prior to CIL Bid Submission)

Project Ref	Project	Parties involved	CIL Funding if known	Project costs if known	Progress
EPB 20-01	HEALTH – Capel St Mary	Clinical Commissioning Group (Health), East Bergholt Practice, Capel St Mary Parish Council	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Capel St Mary are interested in securing some health provision in Capel by using their Neighbourhood CIL funds. Health have agreed to undertake a feasibility study which is in progress. No outcome known at this stage.
EPB 20-04	EDUCATION – Shotley Primary School	Suffolk County Council	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Once any start is made at Ganges the need for this may become triggered. Needs to continue to be monitored and assessed going forward. Discussions taking place with Suffolk County Council. Need to include early settings.
EPB 20-09	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Capel St Mary – Football Artificial Grass Pitch and Community Hub	Councillor David Busby	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Project Enquiry form submitted. First meeting took place on 15 th October 2020. Project being scoped and developed.
EPB 20-12	EDUCATION – Early Years - Long Melford	Suffolk County Council	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions have started with a potential CIL Bid being made in Bid round 8 - October 2021. Project Enquiry form submitted
EPB 21-04	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Copdock - Cycleway	Copdock Parish Council	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions have commenced. Project Enquiry form submitted
EPB 21-06	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Hadleigh - Layham Road Sports ground project	Hadleigh Town Council and Councillor Beggerow	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions have started with a potential CIL Bid being made in the future. Project Enquiry form submitted
EPB 22-03	COMMUNITY FACILITY – New MUGA to replace tennis courts	Chris Whitley – Capel Community Trust	£75,000.00	£150,000.00	Discussions have started. Project being progressed by the applicant. Applicant is working with planning concerning permissions that may be required for the project.

Project Ref	Project	Parties involved	CIL Funding if known	Project costs if known	Progress
EPB 22-04	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Capel Playing Field New Emergency Access to the playing field	Chris Whitley – Capel Community Trust	£15,000.00	£20,000.00	Discussions have started. Project being progressed by the applicant. Applicant is working with planning concerning permissions that may be required for the project
EPB 22-05	COMMUNITY FACILITY – New Skate Park to serve Capel St Mary and surrounding villages	Chris Whitley – Capel Community Trust	£75,000.00	£100,000.00	Discussions have started. Project being progressed by the applicant. Applicant is working with planning concerning permissions that may be required for the project
EPB 22-07	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Freston Hill Cycling Lane	Shotley Peninsula Cycling Campaign	£75,000.00	£160,000.00	Discussions have started for this project with the Cycling group, Suffolk County Council and internal departments in conjunction with the LCWIP.
EPB 22-09	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Holbrook Pre-School Extension	Holbrook Pre-School	Unknown at this stage	£15,000.00	CIL Project Enquiry Form sent to the applicants to complete 18/03/2022. Awaiting response to this request.
EPB 22-12	COMMUNITY FACILITY – Sudbury FC – Second 3G Pitch	Sudbury FC	£75,000.00	£904,450.00	Site Visit completed and CIL information provided. CIL enquiry form to the football club who are looking to complete a application form for October bid round. 2022.05.10 - Enquiry form received.
EPB 22-15	HEALTH – Sproughton, Hawthorn Drive Extension	Chris Crisell - ICB	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions taking place with the ICB. Cross Boundary Impact
EPB 22-16	WOLSEY GRANGE – Wolsey Grange Two – IBC Chantry Park Leisure Project		Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions taking place. Cross Boundary Impact
EPB 22-19	COCKFIELD – Footpath	Steve Ball – Parish Clerk	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions have started
EPB 22-22	ACTON – Upgrade to Bun Meadow Byway No. 29	Acton Parish Council	£15,000.00	£20,000.00	Project to be discussed



Project Ref	Project	Parties involved	CIL Funding if known	Project costs if known	Progress
EPB 22-23	GLEMSFORD Library project	Suffolk County Council	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions have started
EPB 22-24	LONG MELFORD Library project	Suffolk County Council	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions have started
EPB 22-25	CAPEL ST MARY Library project	Suffolk County Council	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions have started
EPB 22-26	SUDBURY - Waste project	Suffolk County Council	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions have started
EPB 22-27	IPSWICH - Waste project (cross boundary impact)	Suffolk County Council	Unknown at this stage	Unknown at this stage	Discussions have started

Appendix B – Babergh – CIL Bids under the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Capel St Mary, Cockfield and Sudbury) and the Local Infrastructure Fund

Technical Assessment of Bid – B21-03 – Sudbury - Go Start Community Transport from the Local Infrastructure Fund

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	<p>Go Start Community Transport provide affordable, accessible transport for the elderly, infirm and isolated residents of Sudbury and the surrounding area. They would like to improve their parking facilities for the minibuses by clearing a piece of out-of-town wasteland.</p> <p>Go Start are current tenants within one of the units on the South Suffolk Business Centre. Unfortunately, the Centre has limited parking capacity, particularly for larger vehicles. The community benefits this project will provide are by allowing Go Start to continue to carry out their charitable objective in providing transport to less fortunate sectors of society. Relieve pressure on parking at the already busy South Suffolk Business Centre – which will benefit all other tenants on the site. Assist Go Start to contribute to the Suffolk County Council county-side bus service improvement plan, particularly in rural areas.</p>
Delivery /timescales	Project aims to start and be delivered once funding has been approved.
Necessary other approvals	Planning permission approved – DC/21/06134.
Public or private land	Babergh District Council are the landowner – Licence issued for continuous use until termination by either side.
State aid details if any	N/A
Details of future funding maintenance	Maintenance will be very little but will be dealt with by Go Start funds.

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List)	Yes

Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	No S106 funds available.
Is Bid complete	Yes
Has information be verified	Yes
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	No
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Corporate Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other Babergh and Mid Suffolk strategies or external strategies Babergh and Mid Suffolk support and/or input into	Yes - The project scores positively against objectives. This project supports Go Start's objectives which, in turn, support the Babergh Communities Strategy 2019-2036. "Our population is getting older, more people are experiencing frailty and loneliness, and this places greater pressure on social care and health interventions. By enabling and supporting individuals at greatest risk to take care of themselves and their health, this will take pressure off the system and assist in reducing social care and healthcare costs."
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	No.
Value for money	Yes – Supported by the Asset Management and Public Realm Teams.
Clear community benefits	Yes – Beneficiaries are Go Start, Go Start's 500 individual registered passengers and 50 affiliated non-profit organisations as well as the other tenants at the Babergh-owned South Suffolk Business Centre.
Community support (including results of Consultation exercise.)	Yes – Support given by the following parties: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tenants at South Suffolk Business Centre for whom the project will mitigate parking shortage (verbal complaints via the Centre reception staff who are employees of NWES). • Site manager at Serco whose vehicles cross the car park to reach their depot.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sara Cameron, Corporate Manager – Strategic Property, BMSDC, who has inspected the site, approved the outline plan, initiated preparation of the necessary licence, and commissioned work from BMSDC Public Realm. • Cllr Bryn Hurren, Babergh DC (Box Vale). • Cllr Robert Lindsay, Babergh DC (North West Cosford), Suffolk CC (Cosford). • Cllr Adrian Osborne, Babergh DC (Sudbury South East), Sudbury TC (Sudbury South). • Cllr Steve Hall, Sudbury TC (Sudbury South).
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Yes – This is a low-risk ground-work project and does not involve any significant technological requirements.
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes
Timeliness	Project aims to start and be delivered once funding has been approved. The need is urgent.
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, Babergh and Mid Suffolk infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	<p>The total cost of the project - £2689.72</p> <p>Grant aid offered - £665.00</p> <p>CIL Funding required - £2024.72</p>
Community Bid – Funding percentage of project	75%
Supports housing and employment growth	N/A
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	Maintenance to be dealt with through Go Starts financial resources and funds.
Must be based on the developing Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	Go Start’s objectives and this project support the Babergh Communities Strategy 2019-2036. This will help Go Start support and provide a service for over 500 registered passengers.
How does the proposal affect green infrastructure principles?	This project would contribute positively towards achieving the District Councils green objectives. Also, removing parking congestion from Sudbury Town Centre and the South Suffolk Business Centre.

How does the project address green/sustainability principles/infrastructure?	Current parking provisions at the South Suffolk Business Centre are not suitable for this service and the other BDC tenants on site so this will relieve parking pressure and congestion from the town centre.
How does the project affect state aid implications?	State aid implications do not apply.
How does the project affect security and safety in the community?	There are no adverse impacts to security or safety in the community.

CONCLUSIONS

- The project relates to Go Start Community Transport and the provision of parking spaces suitable for their larger minibuses removing the congestion at their current site at the South Suffolk Business Centre.
- The project is supported by other teams within BDC including full local Councillor support. As well as contributing to the Suffolk County Council, county wide Bus Service Improvement Plan, particularly in rural areas.
- The project will allow Go Start to continue to provide affordable, accessible transport for the elderly, infirm and isolated residents of Sudbury and the surrounding areas.
- The project will make improvements to a currently un-used and overgrown piece of Council owned waste ground.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommendation for Cabinet to note approved CIL Bid for £2,024.72 from the Local Infrastructure Fund

Technical Assessment of Bid B21-05 - Bentley - Playing Field from the Local Infrastructure Fund

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	<p>This CIL funding is part of a larger project taking place at the Bentley Playing Field. The first part of the project will be providing drainage to the site to ensure that the new play and gym equipment will be usable all year round. This part of the project and the installation of a suitable surface are being provided and funded by Bentley Parish Council.</p> <p>The CIL funding will be used in the purchase of the outdoor play and gym apparatus. This new apparatus will provide facilities for up to 16-year-olds and adults alike. The Parish have undertaken on-line surveys to ascertain what the new area should have placed on it and have tailored there plans to meet these suggestions. While ensuring that the apparatus has longevity and is easy to maintain.</p> <p>The Parish Council have confirmed that all maintenance requirements will be met by themselves.</p>
Delivery /timescales	Project aims to start and be delivered once funding has been approved.
Necessary other approvals	Planning permission not required. Confirmed by planning via email.
Public or private land	The land is owned by the Parish Council and is public land.
State aid details if any	None
Details of future funding maintenance	The Parish Council have confirmed that all maintenance requirements will be met by themselves.

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the CIL Position Statement	Yes
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	Section 106 has been accessed and approved by the Community Grants team. The Section 106 amount is £1,159.59
Is Bid complete	Yes
Has information been verified	Yes
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure Framework)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	No.
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Strategic Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other BMSDC Strategies or external strategies BMSDC support and/or input into	Yes – Community Provision. The aim is to provide a safe area, where young adults up to 16 will have a place to engage in outdoor activities and play.
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	No.
Value for money	Yes – The Infrastructure team has worked with the applicant to ensure that the project is value for money and that the most cost-effective quote has been used. The amount of CIL funding is £5,706.00 and represents 75% of the total project costs. It lies within the community infrastructure thresholds of not exceeding £75,000 and 75% of the total costs.
Clear community benefits	The new area will be beneficial to families in Bentley and those living nearby. A wide range of children and young adults will now have a safe outdoor area where they can meet up with friends and family members. These new facilities will help in supporting the health and wellbeing and can be used by the local youth football teams that use the playing field for league matches.
Community support (including results of the Consultation exercise)	Yes – The local residents were consulted on the future of the space and requested that it be upgraded and with new equipment to cover a larger range of age groups. This is a Parish Council run project that has local Cllr support.
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Yes – The Parish Council are ready to begin purchasing the equipment that will be based on site.
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes – The CIL Bid application is also funded via Parish Council NCIL and Section 106 funds. The Parish Council has advised that they will pick up any additional costs.
Timeliness	Project aims to start and be delivered once funding has been approved.
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure	The total cost of the project - £9,988.00 (Parish can reclaim VAT) Section 106 - £1,159.59

providers, Parish/Town Councils, BMSDC infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	Bentley PC Funds - £3,122.41 CIL Funding required - £5,706.00
Supports housing and employment growth	N/A
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	Maintenance to be dealt with by Bentley Parish Council.
Must be based on the developing/adopted Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	Yes – The Parish Council has always funded the rent, maintenance of the equipment and the grounds plus the necessary safety inspections of the park. The Parish Council will continue to do so in future.
How does the proposal affect green infrastructure principles?	This project will enhance the current area with all new equipment which will be easier to maintain and have increased longevity.
How does the project address green/sustainability principles/infrastructure?	The project will be looking to use sustainable materials which will ensure longevity.
How does the project affect state aid implications?	The project will be looking to use sustainable materials which will ensure longevity.
How does the project affect security and safety in the community?	State aid implications do not apply.

CONCLUSIONS

- The Trustees of Bentley Playing Field (Bentley Parish Councillors) after consultation with local residents are proposing to upgrade and provide additionality to the local play area equipment based at the Bentley Play Park. During the local resident consultation it was identified that the present Play Park had no facilities for a wide range of children and young adults. Therefore this project and the CIL funding proposes to create a brand new area suitable for a wide range of age groups, this includes adults who would be able to make use of the new gym equipment on the new site.

- CIL is funding the purchase of apparatus for this new site which will provide the local residents with an area that can be used year round for play and fitness. In separate phases of this project the Parish Council are fully funding the improvement of the drainage of the playing field and providing a suitable ground surface for the new equipment to be based on.
- The amount of CIL funding is regarded as acceptable under the terms of the CIL Expenditure Framework as the CIL Bid of £5,706.00 represents 75% of the total project costs. It lies within the community infrastructure thresholds of not exceeding £75,000 and 75% of the total costs. This project has been delivered under the Community Infrastructure section within the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List) for Babergh. This project has been approved under delegated powers.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommendation to Cabinet to note the delegated decision for CIL Bid for £5,706.00 from the Local Infrastructure Fund

Technical Assessment of Bid Project B22-02 – Capel St Mary - Upgrade to Children’s Play Area and Provision of Additional Car parking from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Capel St Mary)

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	This project is to address an out-of-date play area at the Community Centre and to address inadequate car parking facilities for a growing community. The existing play area and parking provision will be rearranged to make the space more efficient with the addition of five additional parking spaces which includes three disabled spaces. Public consultation has taken place and the project is also included in the parish infrastructure investment plan as a priority for the community.
Delivery /timescales	The project aims to start in October 2022 and to be completed by end November 2022.
Necessary other approvals	Planning permission has recently been granted and Bid is now valid
Public or private land	The land is owned Freehold by the Capel Community Trust who have submitted this bid with the Parish Council
State aid details if any	N/A

Details of future funding maintenance	Capel Community Trust will maintain the new provision as they do at present for the existing site. This will include regular inspections and repairs where necessary.
---------------------------------------	---

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List)	Yes – Provision of leisure and community facilities
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	No
Is Bid complete	Yes
Has information be verified	Yes
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	No
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Corporate Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other Babergh and Mid Suffolk strategies or external strategies Babergh and Mid Suffolk support and/or input into	Yes – contributes to the promotion of community activities within the locality.
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	No
Value for money	Yes
Clear community benefits	Yes

Community support (including results of Consultation exercise.)	Yes – public consultation has been sought and the project is listed in the Parish’s Infrastructure Investment Plan (PIIP)
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Yes
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes
Timeliness	Yes – the project is aimed to be delivered by the end of November 2022
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, Babergh and Mid Suffolk infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	The total cost of the project - £143,116.00 Net Cost (Parish can reclaim VAT) Community Grant funding - £15,000.00 Funding from the Parish Council and Capel Community Trust - £28,116.00 CIL Funding required - £100,000.00
Community Bid – Funding percentage of project	70%
Supports housing and employment growth	Yes – contributes to the promotion of community activities within the locality and encourages active play for the children. Provision for additional parking within the village centre.
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	Capel Community Trust will maintain the site and address maintenance, repair and site inspections as required.
Must be based on the developing Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	Project not listed in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan; however, the project would be of benefit to existing and new residents and ensure increased usage of outdoor play facilities and provide the needed additional car parking provision for the community of Capel St Mary.
How does the proposal affect green infrastructure principles?	The construction materials being used in the project.
How does the project address green/sustainability principles/infrastructure?	N/A
How does the project affect state aid implications?	N/A
How does the project affect security and safety in the community?	Perimeter fencing is being installed or security

CONCLUSIONS

- A cross party, cross Council Joint Member Panel has recently carried out a fourth review of the CIL Expenditure Framework (coupled with the CIL Expenditure Framework Communication Strategy. This work was carried out in June 2022 and was due to be presented to Babergh's Council meeting on the 19th July which did not take place. The outcomes of the Joint Member Panel will be presented to Babergh's next Council meeting on the 20th September 2022. One of the proposed changes following this fourth review is an increase in the community threshold figure for CIL Bids from £75,000 to £100,000 per project (subject to the retained % figure of 75% of the total project costs) so as to address rising infrastructure and materials costs. On this basis it is considered appropriate to offer the uplifted sum of £100,000 for this project as an acceptable exception to the CIL Expenditure Framework (particularly as the date of Cabinet is the 5th September 2022 and Babergh's Council will consider all the changes to the CIL Expenditure Framework and associated Communication Strategy including the new uplifted community threshold figure of £100,000 on the 20th September 2022).
- This proposal represents an "oven ready" scheme with evidence of wide community support that would provide additional leisure and community facilities for the community and encourages active outdoor play for the children. The project will be funded through collaborative spend, with the CIL fund portion being 75% of the costs funded from the Ringfenced Fund for Capel St Mary, together with funding contributions from the Capel Community Trust and the Parish Council along with funds from a Community Grant.
- In view of the above the amount of CIL funding is regarded as an acceptable exception under the terms of the current CIL Expenditure Framework as this CIL Bid of £84,673.01 represents 75% of the total project costs. It lies within the community infrastructure threshold of not exceeding 75% of the total project costs. This project has been delivered under the Community Infrastructure section within the Infrastructure Funding Statement - Infrastructure List - for Babergh.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommendation to Cabinet is to approve CIL Bid for £100,000.00 as per CIL bid application from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Capel St Mary).

Technical Assessment of Bid Project B22-05 – Cockfield - Bus Shelter from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Cockfield)

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
<p>Need /Justification</p>	<p>The Parish Council are exploring upgrading the bus stop provision serving Mackenzie place and surrounding area. Funding options include Parish CIL, Precept allowance and potential further CIL bid. The location has a 28 properties within the immediate locality. However, the stimulus for the refurbishment works is the new Jeffreys Green Local develop which is part complete and part under construction. This includes a substantive element of affordable “Local Needs” homes. The Bus stop is on the west bound service and has been in place for many years. Some time ago a local business enterprise donated to the Parish Council an informal shelter for the site, and this is now at the end of is economic life and requires replacement. The East bound service has no formal drop off point with the vehicles alighting passengers in a safe location on the adjacent footway. The bus stop is associated with the Chamber Buses Routes 753 and 754 Sudbury – Bury St Edmunds. The service typically operates 15 return journeys on working days and 13 return journeys on a Saturday. As with many stopping points along the route these are ad hoc and not necessarily complaint to modern standards. The Parish Council however see an increased use and a desire to make the new provision “accessible” and compliant. The Parish Council believe a sympathetic and in keeping new shelter should be provided to the existing site. Ie not an urban utilitarian steel / glass design. The Parish Council believe that this equally will be the requirement of the Planning Authority. As such investigations have concluded that a timber structure similar to the Littlethorpe Ltd “Snowden” shelter is built. It will be erected on a concrete base (including kerbing etc) designed by Structural engineers to meets SCC’s specification. The land falls away at the rear of the shelter, so a barrier will be installed to improve public safety. The new shelter and base has a footprint approximately twice the size of the exiting base so has required the consent of the Land owner, which has been provided. Cockfield residents and visitors will benefit from a safe area that is protected from the elements, provides accessibility form wheelchairs and pushchairs and will accommodate up to 20 people, giving visibility in both directions.</p>

Delivery /timescales	October/November 2022 – completion will be 20 weeks from start date
Necessary other approvals	The CIL Bid states that planning permission is not required. A Minor Works Licence will be obtained from SCC Highways before works start on site.
Public or private land	The land is adjacent to the public highway and a Street Furniture licence has been granted by Suffolk County Council for the works to go ahead. Suffolk County Council Highways have confirmed that neither a TRO nor a Section 278 Licence is required, however the Parish Council's chosen groundworks contractor will obtain a Minor Works Licence on behalf of the Parish Council to undertake the work. The land is in private ownership . Written confirmation has been received by the landowner to confirm that the works are acceptable. However a legal view has been received from the Shared Legal Service that a lease would be appropriate and necessary given the investment into the structure and land through CIL. Discussions are therefore taking place with the CIL Bid applicant to secure a 25 year lease (required by the CIL Expenditure Framework) for the proposal..
State aid details if any	N/A
Details of future funding maintenance	<p>The Snowdon Bus Shelter is guaranteed for 5 years against fire and damage from kicking impact. The Toughened glass is guaranteed for 1-year against breakage. The Substructure Contractor has allowed for replacing any damaged or missing kerbs for a period of 1 year.</p> <p>During the 1-year guarantee period, there should not be any need for any other maintenance of the Bus Shelter or concrete slab, but they will be added into the Asset Register and included on the Annual Maintenance Calendar from Year 2 onwards.</p> <p>Funding for all future maintenance costs (Shelter, Slab, Bench and Barrier) will be Precepted by the Parish Council.</p>

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List)	Yes Project not listed in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan; however, the project would be of benefit to existing and new residents and is allowed for in the provision of community facilities within the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List) for Babergh

Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	No none available
Is Bid complete	Yes
Has information be verified	Yes
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No but it would be located in close proximity to the Jeffreys Green development

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	It helps to sustain the Jeffreys Green Development by improving the Bus service infrastructure
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Corporate Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other Babergh and Mid Suffolk strategies or external strategies Babergh and Mid Suffolk support and/or input into	Supports initiatives to increase use of public transport and reduce reliance on private transport
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	Bus stops are essential in the country to support village life
Value for money	It is considered to be Value For Money in the current escalating cost climate and with a shortage of willing contractors
Clear community benefits	Yes, it has safety, public welfare and increased usage benefits
Community support (including results of Consultation exercise.)	Yes, It has the support of existing bus users, the Parish Council, An email was submitted to accompany the CIL Bid from Councillor Arthey stating- I welcome the news that you will be submitting a CIL application for a replacement bus shelter for the new Jeffreys Green development. Given that new development provides funding through CIL for required

	<p>infrastructure, your project is a perfect example of how this can be delivered. A new bus shelter will provide a modern and fit-for-purpose structure to serve the increased need in this part of the village. I offer my full support to the project.</p> <p>Margaret Maybury states:- Whilst I am content to support this initiative, I am surprised there is no provision for a second bus shelter for when the Jeffreys Green development was proposed, applied for or granted. A second bus shelter on the opposite side of the road would, in my opinion, give safety to users when travelling towards Sudbury.</p> <p>Suffolk County Cllr Robert Lindsay: - is in full support of this proposal</p> <p>.</p>
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Suppliers and subcontractors are ready to proceed
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	The Parish Council are part funding the project through utilising some of its Neighbourhood CIL funding
Timeliness	The Scheme has been designed and tendered and is waiting the funding approval to enable it to proceed
By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, Babergh and Mid Suffolk infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	<p>This is a collaborative spend using District CIL and Parish Neighbourhood CIL</p> <p>The total cost of the project - £35,028.08 Net Cost (Parish can reclaim VAT)</p> <p>Funding from the Parish Council through Neighbourhood CIL- £10,000</p> <p>CIL Funding required - £25,028.08</p>
Community Bid – Funding percentage of project	71% (of the Net costs)
Supports housing and employment growth	Yes, supports the increased usage by the new Jeffreys Green Housing development which is not yet fully built or occupied yet

Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	Yes
Must be based on the developing Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	Project not listed in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan; however, the project would be of benefit to existing and new residents and is allowed for in the provision of community facilities within the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List) for Babergh
How does the proposal affect green infrastructure principles?	The Project directly supports the increased use of public transport
How does the project address green/sustainability principles/infrastructure?	The Project directly supports the increased use of public transport
How does the project affect state aid implications?	N/A
How does the project affect security and safety in the community?	Yes, it greatly improves the safety of passengers using the current shelter

CONCLUSIONS

- This proposal seeks to replace an existing longstanding bus shelter which is beyond its shelf life and means of repair but includes significant tangible benefits as it is larger and of improved design with safety rails and has a full maintenance schedule (under guarantees) together with the financial support going forward through Parish Council precept. 28 dwellings are being built through the Jeffreys Green development which is part complete and part under construction. This includes a substantive element of affordable “Local Needs” homes. The Bus stop is on the west bound service and has been in place for many years. Some time ago a local business enterprise donated to the Parish Council an informal shelter for the site, but this now requires replacement. The East bound service has no formal drop off point with the vehicles alighting passengers in a safe location on the adjacent footway. The bus stop is associated with the Chamber Buses Routes 753 and 754 Sudbury – Bury St Edmunds. The service typically operates 15 return journeys on working days and 13 return journeys on a Saturday. As with many stopping points along the route these are ad hoc and not necessarily compliant to modern standards. The Parish Council however see an increased use and a desire to make the new provision “accessible” and compliant.

- Although the land is not within the ownership of the Parish Council it is land (and a structure that is maintained by them) and therefore there is no need for planning permission for the structure. The CIL Expenditure Framework requires that CIL expenditure is on public land or land that is the subject of a 25 year old lease. In this case neither is applicable as the land is privately owned . However the existing structure was donated together with agreement to use the land as a bus shelter for approximately 25 years and the written agreement of the land owner has now been received to allow for its replacement in the manner proposed. The land has been maintained by the Parish Council for the last 25 years. Advice is being taken about whether this would need any reinforcement in law (through a licence approach or by a lease) and the Shared legal Service consider that a lease is appropriate. This is being discussed with the CIL Bid applicant and a further report will be made at Cabinet. However a Street Furniture Licence has been issued by Suffolk County Council Highways and a Minor Works Licence will be obtained before works begin on site in accordance with highway requirements. Subject to these matters being satisfactorily addressed it is considered that the proposal can be supported.
- This proposal represents an “oven ready” scheme with evidence of community support that would provide an improved facility of benefit to its residents. The project will be funded through collaborative spend, with the CIL fund portion (£25,028.08) being 71% of the costs funded from the Ringfenced Fund for Cockfield, together with funding contributions from the Parish Council through their Neighbourhood CIL .It lies within the community infrastructure thresholds of not exceeding £100,000 and 75% of the total costs. This project has been delivered under the Community Infrastructure section within the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List) for Babergh.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommendation to Cabinet to approve CIL Bid for £25.028.08 as per CIL bid application from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Cockfield)subject to the prior completion of a lease for the land (for at least 25 years).

Technical Assessment of Bid – Project B22-04 – Provision of CCTV facilities Kingfisher Leisure Centre from the Local Infrastructure Fund

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification	<p>The additional CCTV will extend part of the Babergh CCTV estate and link to the existing infrastructure. It is proposed to use the same supplier as the CCTV upgrade used in Sudbury. The Council has installed solar PV canopy car ports within Babergh owned and controlled surface car park at the Kingfisher Leisure Centre Station Road car park. The solar PV Canopy will power EV chargers, battery storage and micro-generate electricity to the leisure centre. Additional CCTV points are required to ensure EV user safety, prevent crime and fear of crime in the locality and enable identification/prosecution of offenders</p> <p>Additional CCTV points are required to ensure EV user safety, prevent crime and fear of crime in the locality and enable identification/prosecution of offender. In particular the PTZ (Zoom facility is required to provide more effective deterrence and detection). There are other Town developments in progress and pipeline which will also benefit</p> <p>Install 4x 4k static cameras to the area to cover all the EV charging and PV roof areas. £3,591.21</p> <p>Install 1x 4k PTZ to LC 161 to enable extra cover and tracking for the area.</p>
Delivery /timescales	Start as soon as funds agreed; completion end of August 2022
Necessary other approvals	None
Public or private land	Public
State aid details if any	N/A
Details of future funding maintenance	The ongoing running costs would be paid for by Babergh DC as part of the existing CCTV contract

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List)	Community infrastructure
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	No
Is Bid complete	Yes
Has information be verified	Yes
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	Additionality to existing CCTV system project plus green energy micro-gen system
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Corporate Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other Babergh and Mid Suffolk strategies or external strategies Babergh and Mid Suffolk support and/or input into	Supports initiatives to improve community safety Health and Well Being and has linkages to Climate Action Plan and Asset Management
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	Key Town Centre security and deterrent
Value for money	Yes – additionality + existing supplier/procurement
Clear community benefits	Yes – public safety and crime prevention
Community support (including results of Consultation exercise.)	Yes – through CCTV system Business Case Consultation with Town Council Ward Members and County Council Member – support received from Councillor Jan Osbourne and Adrian Osbourne
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Yes no formal approvals are required and scheme would be provided through supplier with track record of supplying the existing Council system
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	This is affordable infrastructure from the Local Infrastructure Fund; the earlier CIL funded Infrastructure project for the whole of Sudbury had an underspend. This minor addition is well within the underspend limits
Timeliness	Oven ready project to be undertaken by existing supplier and under £10,000 and therefore a delegated decision

By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, Babergh and Mid Suffolk infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)	This is an addition to the previously agreed CCTV scheme for Sudbury and Hadleigh. The earlier scheme was awarded at 100% funding as an exception to the terms of the CIL Expenditure Framework and this minor addition falls in line with the approved scheme and the earlier decision and is therefore awarded at 100% as an exception to the CIL Expenditure Framework Total costs of CIL Bid £5,416.21 (Net costs only) Total costs of project including VAT 6,499.45 (which can be claimed back)
Community Bid – Funding percentage of project	100% - considered as an acceptable exception to the CIL Expenditure Framework
Supports housing and employment growth	Linked to supporting improved community safety and security of the Town
Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured	Within CCTV programme. The ongoing running costs would be paid for by Babergh DC as part of the existing CCTV contract
Must be based on the developing Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise	This project has been submitted as a community infrastructure project provided for by the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and the Infrastructure List from Babergh's Infrastructure Funding Statement
How does the proposal affect green infrastructure principles?	Green infrastructure support
How does the project address green/sustainability principles/infrastructure?	Green infrastructure support
How does the project affect state aid implications?	N/A
How does the project affect security and safety in the community?	Improvement to community safety and adds to CCTV coverage + monitoring/deterrence

CONCLUSIONS

- This project is a minor addition to the previous infrastructure project which sought to safeguard community safety through a CCTV system for Sudbury. That particular project has been delivered and came in as an underspend and this proposal to introduce further CCTV equipment in the Kingfisher Leisure Centre area of the town is regarded as acceptable in principle, detail and costs. It supports the District Councils ambitions of creating safe, healthy and vibrant communities, with a strong and diverse local economy. It also supports the strategic partnership aims of the Town Vision Delivery Group for attracting inward investment, enhancing environmental credentials and proving confidence to those who live, work and visit the town.

- The main objective is to prevent and detect crime within the town centre of Sudbury and to make the community safer for residents, businesses, and visitors. Through the technological advances in CCTV crime can be deterred.
- This project is included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and in the Infrastructure Funding Statement for Babergh through it being classed as a community infrastructure project . The project albeit quite minor in scale supports the strategic CCTV system for the town and safeguards community safety in Sudbury. As such it represents an acceptable exception to the community infrastructure thresholds (being a 100% CIL funded project) and is recommended for approval.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommendation to Cabinet to note the delegated decision for CIL Bid B22-04 for £5,416.21 from the Local Infrastructure Fund

Technical Assessment of Bid – Project B22-06 – Gainsborough House (GH) Sudbury from the Ringfenced Infrastructure Fund (Sudbury) and Local Infrastructure Fund

ASSESSMENT

Validation

VALIDATION	ASSESSMENT
Need /Justification /Terms of the CIL Bid	<p>The aim for the community is to build a national centre that is dynamic, sustainable, and forward looking. The whole project of an historic house, galleries, learning spaces and collections of international significance is a great force for regeneration in Sudbury.</p> <p><u>THE WIDER PROJECT: INFRASTRUCTURE</u></p> <p>In order to achieve its aims the project has four main elements, which includes renovation and a new build so that it can better serve its audiences and communities:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. HISTORIC HOUSE: The renovation and redisplay of Gainsborough's House to interpret, entertain, and inspire around the life of Gainsborough, Constable, Sudbury and Silk.

2. **VISITOR SERVICES:** The major improvement of visitor services, cafe, toilets, garden, shop, print workshop and Hills Room (education and events space);
3. **NEW GALLERIES:** a major extension with four large galleries and learning spaces.
4. **AUDIENCE DEVELOPMENT & TRAINING:** dynamic activities that create better public engagement; a centre for scholarship and a hub for training. The organisation would create a vibrant and dynamic centre for activity with the physical heritage as the hub and diversify and increase audiences.

INFRASTRUCTURE: The three new temporary exhibition spaces means that GH now have a space to cater for national and international exhibitions, works on paper and a Community Gallery for local and community exhibitions. The balance between local and national is critical to the success of the project and that although it has international partnerships it is firmly rooted within the community.

The COMPLETION of the infrastructure that is described below is at a critical point. The builders have been working on the project for 26 months and are in the final 10% of the project. Already it is earmarked as an award-winning project and has enormous local support. There have been some major and unexpected delays increased costs and loss of income that were beyond the control of Gainsborough House. In summary:

COVID

COVID has had a direct and devastating impact on Gainsborough's House. Covid-19 hit in March 2020 with a national lockdown and although the contractor is reluctant to be fully open about the impact given the question of financial culpability it has impacted in the following way and will continue to be a risk going forward:

Supply Chains

- The local brick makers who are producing over 45,000 Bulmer Bricks for the project were locked down. This has had a significant impact on the critical path.
- The on-going impact on supply chains has become more critical.

Loss of Fundraising Income

- Promised and potential funds were diverted by trusts and foundations into COVID response funding. This includes the loss of a £250,000 grant from the CLORE Foundation, Gainsborough House had been favourably assessed and was to be granted at the board meeting until COVID meant grants to new venues were halted.
- Gainsborough's House were not eligible to apply for many COVID funds because it was closed for capital works. This meant that we were ineligible for Cultural Recovery Fund 1, which would have been in the region of £180,000.
- Gainsborough's House have stated in their CIL Bid that Covid created 'donor fatigue', which has meant less success in fundraising despite having increased reliance on it due to delay and loss of income. Funders were unable to visit and funds that were anticipated were not realised.

Gainsborough House further state: -**ARCHAEOLOGY**

Archaeology is a critical part of the construction project and was planned into the programme. Unfortunately, it was subject to:

- A critically slow response from archaeology.
- When work did start on the archaeology some Anglo-Saxon artefacts were discovered, which significantly added to the delay.
- This added around £170,000 to the cost of the project.

POOR SOIL

Structural engineers were appointed early in the process and made investigations of the ground conditions of the site. It was only when work commenced it was discovered that:

- The ground conditions were excessively uneven and soils conditions were radically different at points of the site where trial pits had not been dug.

ASBESTOS

An important element of the project is the crinkle-crankle wall, which is a vernacular design using handmade local bricks and bricks used in workshops with Suffolk prisons. The wall is to be built on the northern perimeter of the garden and it was not known that:

- The ground upon which the wall was built was full of asbestos, probably deposited there over 50 years before. This meant it needed to be carefully contained, excavated and removed causing significant delay.

LOSS OF EARNED INCOME

A delayed delivery of re-opening of the capital project, which has meant:

- increased costs
- major loss of income
- fundraising resources being directed to the capital project

Gainsborough House have submitted the following statement to address these issues:-

THE IMPACT AND EXHAUSTED ALL AVENUES

Resources are exhausted. Gainsborough's House has been closed for two and half years, with negligible earned income and every avenue of funding sought and returned to. Options have run out. We have returned to all our major funders who have given again, but unlikely to give a third time and we have exhausted all other avenues of funding. ***Completing a National Centre for Gainsborough is the last piece in the jigsaw.***

WHAT GAINSBOROUGH HOUSE HAVE DONE TO MITIGATE THIS

Capital costs

In order, to keep the budget capped for the previous four years, Gainsborough's House has undertaken major Value Engineering. We have made every effort to

mitigate the capital costs and have embarked upon looking at all aspects of the project for value engineering without changing the scope of the project. This has included £30,000 of savings on the staircase and £10,000 savings on the canopy and numerous smaller modifications to make around £200,000 worth of savings. The fact that it is only now, four years into the project and a worldwide pandemic, that extra project costs have become an issue is due to the strong management of the project.

Revenue costs

Gainsborough House have mitigated this by reducing expenditure. Since 2020 GH have reduced expenditure by just over £100,000. This has been done in a number of areas including redundancy, not securing operating staff for re-opening until it is critical and reducing the level of activity, GH can deliver allowing focus upon delivery of the capital project and fundraising.

In respect of the capital project costs that remain and fundraising the following table is taken from the CIL Bid; -

TYPE OF COSTS	COST	COST REMAINING TO COMPLETION
Pre-Development Costs	£118,020	£0 Fully funded
Project Development Costs	£426,318	£0 Fully funded
Site Acquisition Costs	£235,000	£0 Fully funded
Capital Costs	£4,654,225	£0 Fully funded
Activity Costs	£1,221,860	£0 Fully funded
Other Costs	£80,000	£0 Fully funded

Volunteer Time	£25,000	£0 Fully funded
Contingency & Inflation	£852,415	£0 Fully funded
Net Capital Costs (Subtotal)	£7,612,838	£0 Fully Funded
Endowment	£1,000,000	£0 Fully funded
(VAT is recoverable) VAT	£0	£0 Fully funded
ORIGINAL TOTAL COSTS	£8,612,838	£0 Fully funded
Capital Costs to Completion	£1,720,000	£1.25m realised, pledged, and applying for further funds leaving a shortfall of £152,504.86
Activity Costs to Completion	£146,000	Pledged and applying for funds
Other Costs to Completion	£132,000	Pledged and applying for funds
Volunteer Time to Completion	£18,000	Pledged and given
COMPLETION COSTS	£2,016,000	£152,504.86
TOTAL COSTS	£10,628,838 (including £1m endowment)	REMAINING TO FIND £152,504.86

This completion funding costs that has been secured (or remains unsecured in emboldened type) has come from the following sources:-

FUNDING REQUIRED TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT

Funding Source	Secured Yes/No	If not secured - when will you know	Amount£
NLHF additional grant	Yes		£641,933
Private Donations/Trusts/ Foundations	Yes		£610,507
Private Donations/Trusts/ Foundations	Yes	June/July 2022 – now received	£332,951
Other Fundraising	Yes		£123,560
Other Fundraising	No	November 2022	£154,545
Total Funds Raised			£1,848,951
Shortfall of Funding			£152,504.86
Amount being applied for from CIL			£152,504.86
Total Funding			£2,016,000

	<p>The infrastructure that still requires to be delivered on site before opening in November 2022 is set out in a Quantity Surveyors letter with works to be carried out by existing contractors as follows: -</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="788 328 1910 1137"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="788 328 1525 384"><u>Item of work</u></th> <th data-bbox="1525 328 1910 384"><u>Remaining to be paid</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 384 1525 448">Balustrade</td> <td data-bbox="1525 384 1910 448">13,070.30</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 448 1525 512">Moveable Walls</td> <td data-bbox="1525 448 1910 512">11,565.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 512 1525 576">Picture Rail to Gainsborough Gallery</td> <td data-bbox="1525 512 1910 576">7,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 576 1525 639">Fixtures and Fittings in Livanos Study Room</td> <td data-bbox="1525 576 1910 639">26,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 639 1525 703">Lift Reveals</td> <td data-bbox="1525 639 1910 703">10,903.20</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 703 1525 767"></td> <td data-bbox="1525 703 1910 767"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 767 1525 831">Tarmacing, kerbs and roadworks to front of GH</td> <td data-bbox="1525 767 1910 831">22,816.36</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 831 1525 895">Rear Gate and railing</td> <td data-bbox="1525 831 1910 895">17,405.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 895 1525 959">New signage (external and internal)</td> <td data-bbox="1525 895 1910 959">16,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 959 1525 1023">Garden landscaping</td> <td data-bbox="1525 959 1910 1023">17,745.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 1023 1525 1086">Picture racking in Art picture store</td> <td data-bbox="1525 1023 1910 1086">10,000.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="788 1086 1525 1137">TOTAL</td> <td data-bbox="1525 1086 1910 1137">152,504.86</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Item of work</u>	<u>Remaining to be paid</u>	Balustrade	13,070.30	Moveable Walls	11,565.00	Picture Rail to Gainsborough Gallery	7,000.00	Fixtures and Fittings in Livanos Study Room	26,000.00	Lift Reveals	10,903.20			Tarmacing, kerbs and roadworks to front of GH	22,816.36	Rear Gate and railing	17,405.00	New signage (external and internal)	16,000.00	Garden landscaping	17,745.00	Picture racking in Art picture store	10,000.00	TOTAL	152,504.86
<u>Item of work</u>	<u>Remaining to be paid</u>																										
Balustrade	13,070.30																										
Moveable Walls	11,565.00																										
Picture Rail to Gainsborough Gallery	7,000.00																										
Fixtures and Fittings in Livanos Study Room	26,000.00																										
Lift Reveals	10,903.20																										
Tarmacing, kerbs and roadworks to front of GH	22,816.36																										
Rear Gate and railing	17,405.00																										
New signage (external and internal)	16,000.00																										
Garden landscaping	17,745.00																										
Picture racking in Art picture store	10,000.00																										
TOTAL	152,504.86																										
Delivery /timescales	<p>Remainder of the project is estimated to be completed by November 2022 when the facility is expected to open.</p> <p>Project started January 2020 and ends November 2022</p> <p>Key Milestones/Payment Stages:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building Commences January 2020 																										

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion of building (practical completion) June 2022 • Fit out and first exhibition installed July-September 2021 • Re-opening November 2022 																												
Necessary other approvals	Formal approvals for the overall project have been secured																												
Public or private land	Gainsborough House is a museum which allows public access																												
State aid details if any	<p>The following state aid has already been given to this project: - Decision made on Building and land being gifted to Gainsborough House on 8th February 2018 by Babergh. This had a value of £235,000.00 £200,746.00 District CIL agreed by Babergh Cabinet in 2019 to be paid at the end of a three-year period (monies paid on 23rd March 2022). In addition a statement has been received by GH that states the following in relation to state aid: -</p> <table> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>State Aid (CAPITAL)</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sudbury Town Council</td> <td>£5,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>CIL</td> <td>£200,746</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Local Enterprise Partnership</td> <td>£250,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>State Aid (REVENUE)</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Sudbury Town Council</td> <td>£19,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Suffolk County Council</td> <td>£85,680</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>State Aid Covid Support (REVENUE)</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>10 COVID recovery grants totaling</td> <td>£46,481</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cultural Recovery Fund (2)</td> <td>£121,164</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Cultural Recovery Fund (4)</td> <td>£175,733</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">TOTAL:</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><u>State Aid Covid Support (CAPITAL)</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Arts Council England Kickstart Fund</td> <td>£28,822</td> </tr> </table>	<u>State Aid (CAPITAL)</u>		Sudbury Town Council	£5,000	CIL	£200,746	Local Enterprise Partnership	£250,000	<u>State Aid (REVENUE)</u>		Sudbury Town Council	£19,500	Suffolk County Council	£85,680	<u>State Aid Covid Support (REVENUE)</u>		10 COVID recovery grants totaling	£46,481	Cultural Recovery Fund (2)	£121,164	Cultural Recovery Fund (4)	£175,733	TOTAL:		<u>State Aid Covid Support (CAPITAL)</u>		Arts Council England Kickstart Fund	£28,822
<u>State Aid (CAPITAL)</u>																													
Sudbury Town Council	£5,000																												
CIL	£200,746																												
Local Enterprise Partnership	£250,000																												
<u>State Aid (REVENUE)</u>																													
Sudbury Town Council	£19,500																												
Suffolk County Council	£85,680																												
<u>State Aid Covid Support (REVENUE)</u>																													
10 COVID recovery grants totaling	£46,481																												
Cultural Recovery Fund (2)	£121,164																												
Cultural Recovery Fund (4)	£175,733																												
TOTAL:																													
<u>State Aid Covid Support (CAPITAL)</u>																													
Arts Council England Kickstart Fund	£28,822																												

	A legal opinion has been sought and obtained from the Shared Legal Service about state aid considerations given the amount of support and monies already offered to this project set out elsewhere in this Technical Assessment together with the timings of payments/transfer of land and building. The recommendation of approval of this CIL Bid to Cabinet reflects this content of this received advice.
Details of future funding maintenance	<p>The business plan ensures that there are sufficient running costs going into the future. Maintenance will be achieved through a detailed Management and Maintenance Plan produced by the architect, which will be fulfilled through: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contract with a mechanical and engineering company • Appointment of a Facilities/Building Manager for Gainsborough's House • Appointment of a Building Apprentice for Gainsborough's House

SCREENED (for possible s106 expenditure with the opportunity being taken to secure other funding if available)

BIDS SCREENED	ASSESSMENT
Must follow the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List)	It is classed as a community infrastructure project under the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List) and therefore complies with this criteria
Can the infrastructure be provided using s106 funds	No
Is Bid complete	Yes
Has information been verified	<p>Yes albeit there is (in addition to the CIL Bid) an unsecured sum of money for this project which is still outstanding - £154,545 is expected in November 2022. This together with this CIL Bid request for District CIL funds would enable the project to be completed with all parts of the jigsaw of funding being realised.</p> <p>Gainsborough House state the following in this regard: -</p> <p>Regarding the £154,545 that we are planning in securing in November. We are highly confident that this will be achieved. The confidence is based upon our record of fundraising to date and the</p>

	<p>conversations that we have had with individual donors and trusts. The amount will be made up of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trusts and foundations • Individual donors • Trustees • Remaining Buy a Brick campaign <p>The substance of the £152,504.86 CIL Bid is outlined in the Quantity Surveyor letter (see above) and fits within the criteria of infrastructure only with no professional fees or contingency.</p>
Is this infrastructure linked to a major housing project which has priority?	No

PRIORITISATION (Using criteria from the CIL Expenditure)

PRIORITISATION CRITERIA	ASSESSMENT
Infrastructure necessary for an approved growth project (those with planning permission) in order that development carried out is sustainable.	No but planning permission was approved for the GH project and the infrastructure was considered essential to GH's vision for the future
Positively scores against provisions /objectives of Joint Corporate Plan and/or Joint Local Plan and/ or Infrastructure Strategies or other Babergh and Mid Suffolk strategies or external strategies Babergh and Mid Suffolk support and/or input into	<p>The project is essential to Babergh plans and Strategies going forward for the town. GH state:- <i>'The visitor economy is of significant importance to the region and especially Babergh. Research has shown that in 2016 the overall economic impact of tourism to the Babergh District was £188.5m (tourism related employment accounting for 11% of all employment) (source Destination Research Ltd Economic Impact of Tourism Reports 2016).'</i></p> <p><i>Within that context Gainsborough's House's economic impact will be considerable. The project itself will create 94.9fte jobs, some temporary, others permanent and some indirect. The project will create a Gross Added Value of £10,572,883 in spend on goods and services for the local economy (using the Association of Independent Museums GVA Toolkit). The expanded museum, once opened in Autumn 2022, will spearhead much-needed economic revival in Sudbury with increased footfall of day trippers and overnight visitors, generating up</i></p>

	<p><i>to an estimated £3,025,640 annually in visitor s pend and spend on goods and services for the local and regional economy, based on the conservative estimate of 50,000 visitors and more incrementally as GH expect to exceed these visitor targets. This is an overall increase of 200% on 016/17. For Sudbury, this project aims to be a place-changer with more visitors spending in the own; more pride of place, enhancing Sudbury as a more vibrant place to live, work and set up business and attracting more investment. The project will elevate Suffolk's international branding and offer to the world as a place to visit.</i></p> <p>Sudbury Vision for Prosperity</p> <p>Gainsborough's House is central to Sudbury's Vision for Prosperity and the regeneration of the centre of the town as well as the economic, pride of place and health benefits that the project provides.</p>
It represents key infrastructure (essential)	<p>No. It is not critical or essential infrastructure in respect of the Councils Infrastructure Delivery Plan. However GH state in this regard:-</p> <p><i>The expansion of Gainsborough's House represents key infrastructure: Without this project, the organisation would decline as it is would be an unsustainable model and lack the infrastructure required to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• ensure future sustainability</i> <i>• its critical education and learning work</i> <i>• be the arts resource that the Town and the County so desperately needs.</i>
Value for money	<p>The Quantity Surveyors letter (see above) advises on the precise sums that would remain for the outstanding infrastructure work, for completion of the project Gainsborough House states in relation to Value for Money:-</p> <p><i>The benefits of this investment would ensure the value of the whole project would not be lost; or every £1 donated will effectively release £50, and that it would reach over 50,000 people a year and an annual GVA impact to £3m value of employment, goods, services and tourist spend</i></p>

Clear community benefits	<p>There are a large number of community benefits in relation to health, wellbeing, recreation, financial stability of GH and quality of life. All the ways in which GH have stated earlier providing;-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Art of international quality on the doorstep,</i> • <i>Employment opportunities, creating increased educational resources and opportunities,</i> • <i>increasing the opportunity to exhibit work created by the community,</i> • <i>giving pride to the community,</i> • <i>the local area/community being a better place to live, work or visit and negative environmental impacts will be reduced, events and activities for all backgrounds and ages, creating positive attitudes and behavior and giving opportunities for those who are often excluded,</i> • <i>creating more volunteering opportunities and boosting the local economy .</i>
Community support (including results of Consultation exercise.)	<p>Gainsborough House state:- <i>Community support has been consistently demonstrated through consultation, open days, exhibitions and market surveys.</i></p> <p>In respect of the Consultation on the CIL Bid, both Ward Councilors Jan Osbourne and Adrian Osbourne have supported the CIL Bid together with support from Sudbury Town Council, Councilor Jessie Carter has stated “Gainsborough’s House will be an asset to the town once it is completed and we should be supporting this in any way that we can, I see this to be a perfect example of what CIL monies should be being spent on”.</p>
Deliverability (“oven ready” schemes)	Yes. This project has started and no further formal approvals are necessary. Completion of the project is expected in November 2022
Affordability (from CIL Funds)	Yes .albeit the CIL Bid ask is greater than the current community threshold of £75,000 and the proposed suggested uplift as part of the fourth review of the CIL Expenditure Framework of £100,000
Timeliness	The project is expected to complete in November 2022 whereupon Gainsborough House would re-open.

<p>By releasing CIL money can we achieve infrastructure provision through collaborative spend? (i.e. Infrastructure providers, Parish/Town Councils, Babergh and Mid Suffolk infrastructure provision, or LEP/Government funding)</p>	<p>This is a unique CIL Bid with huge collaborative expenditure with monies coming from a wide array of sources. The project originally estimated at £7 million approximately (when CIL funds were awarded in 2019) has resulted in a project spend to date of £8.6 million with £2 million approximately (see above) of work left in order to complete the project. The total project costs would then total £10,628,838.</p> <p>The release of CIL funds for the outstanding infrastructure works would allow the project to complete subject to the realisation of the outstanding unsecured monies in November 2022 which equates to £154,545</p>
<p>Community Bid – Funding percentage of project</p>	<p>The original CIL Bid was £200,746 (this was agreed at a time when there were no community threshold limits); the project costs were estimated at that time to be approximately £7 million.</p>
<p>Supports housing and employment growth</p>	<p>See above/ GH state:- <i>Museums make important contributions to local economic development, learning and skills, a stronger community, along with health and wellbeing, environment and climate change, and cultural diplomacy. Museums have a number of routes by which indirect economic impact may be achieved, through procurement and visitor spend, place-making and regeneration, but also in other forms of indirect impact such as collaborative business partnerships and economic spill-overs through co-location.</i></p>
<p>Have a package of measures been proposed and submitted which allow for ongoing maintenance of the infrastructure such that its longevity can be assured</p>	<p>Yes see above</p>
<p>Must be based on the developing Infrastructure Delivery Plan unless circumstances dictate otherwise</p>	<p>No, it is not listed as critical or essential infrastructure in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan but it is classed as a community infrastructure project under the Infrastructure Funding Statement (Infrastructure List) and therefore CIL monies can be spent on the project subject to resolution of the state aid considerations.</p>

How does the proposal affect green infrastructure principles?(natural/ biodiversity considerations)	The capital project has included locally sources construction materials, maximizing the use of daylight and LED and reduced plant room and high specification insulation. The garden is maintained on organic principles and GH have made provision for bird boxes in the garden.
How does the project address green/sustainability principles/infrastructure? (sustainable modes of transport including connectivity to cycle paths footpaths)	<p>GH state: –</p> <p><i>Our 3-year ambition to make a significant step change in reducing our carbon footprint. To increase visitors travelling to Gainsborough's House by public transport ten times from 3% to 30%. We are looking to partnerships locally and regionally within business and community</i></p> <p><i>Groups to look at joint initiatives such as shared transport. To be a green champion within the regional museums of Suffolk and establish a strong plan going forward.</i></p>
How does the project affect state aid implications?	A legal opinion has been sought and obtained regarding state aid considerations and this recommendation takes these conclusions reproduced elsewhere in this technical assessment fully into account in the recommendation on the CIL Bid.
How does the project affect security and safety in the community?	<p>GH state:-</p> <p><i>By creating a safe, stimulating and creative environment for visitors; providing employment opportunities and a visitor attraction creating pride of place in the Town. Our work with disadvantaged groups demonstrates cultural engagement as alternative routes.</i></p>

CONCLUSIONS

- This second CIL Bid of **£152,504.86** (which follows the original approved CIL Bid in 2019 for £ 200,746) relates to a unique project at Gainsborough House which goes to the heart of Sudbury as a town and is a central part of the Towns Vision through the Sudbury Partnership which Gainsborough House is part of. Costs for the renovation project (which were originally expected to be approximately £7 million) have now risen to £10.6 million which is largely attributable to rising infrastructure costs and covid issues as set out above. In addition the covid pandemic has significantly altered the original funding strategy and it has meant that there has been a need to update the funding strategy over the lifespan of the project and approach funders for further monies so the project can be completed. The outstanding infrastructure works to allow the project to be completed by November 2022 amount to approximately £2 million and this second CIL Bid seeks to secure **£152,504.86** which would represent the penultimate piece of the jigsaw for funding purposes with the exception of **£154,545** which is as yet unsecured. However, Gainsborough House have confirmed that confidence is extremely high that

this money will be secured by November 2022. This confidence is based upon Gainsborough House's record of fundraising to date and the conversations that Gainsborough House have had with individual donors and trusts. This project ultimately amounts to a large amount of investment effort and energy aimed at renovating Gainsborough House so that the aim of creating a national centre that is dynamic, sustainable and forward looking can be realised. The whole project of an historic house, galleries, learning spaces and collections of international significance represent a great force for regeneration in Sudbury

- A cross party, cross Council Joint Member Panel has recently carried out a fourth review of the CIL Expenditure Framework (coupled with the CIL Expenditure Framework Communication Strategy). This work was carried out in June 2022 and was due to be presented to Babergh's Council meeting on the 19th July which did not take place. The outcomes of the Joint Member Panel will be presented to Babergh's next Council meeting on the 20th September 2022. One of the proposed changes following this fourth review is an increase in the community threshold figure for CIL Bids from £75,000 to £100,000 per project (subject to the retained % figure of 75% of the total project costs) so as to address rising infrastructure and materials costs. In this particular case the amount of money required to complete the infrastructure costs for this project is **£152,504.86**. Whilst this figure exceeds the current or suggested uplift to the community threshold for community projects and also it represents a second CIL Bid against the same project, there are extenuating reasons for supporting this CIL Bid for this very large and unique project which are set out in this technical assessment. It is therefore considered that this CIL Bid should be approved as an acceptable exception to the CIL Expenditure Framework, It is not expected that any decision on this second CIL Bid should set a precedent for other CIL Bids going forward.
- However any offer of further CIL monies to this project must be seen against the value and timings of the state aid that has already been given such that the Council is not in any breach of any restrictions or regulations in making a decision to award further funds.. A legal opinion has been sought from the Shared Legal Service with the following conclusions:-
 - Gainsborough House Society will seek funding from the CIL fund from BDC in the sum of £152,504.86 for the purpose of funding the remaining works to Gainsborough's House. Existing contractors will undertake the works and those works have been costed by the retained Quantity Surveyor. It is understood that due to severe time constraints, it has not been possible for GH to seek alternative quotes.
 - Whilst Gainsborough House Society as an economic actor has received support from BDC, it may not constitute a subsidy because the support does not have the potential to cause a distortion in or harm to competition, trade or investment either within the United Kingdom or between the United Kingdom and another country.

- All four limbs of the TCA test (see above) must be met for the support to be a subsidy. This support represents a subsidy to a local, small tourist attraction and is unlikely to affect trade internationally (e.g., trade with any World Trade Organisation member or between the UK and a country with whom it has a Free Trade Agreement) or within the UK. As such, the fourth limb is not met, and the CIL award does support the existence of a subsidy.
- Once a public body has awarded a subsidy more than £100,000, it (here, BDC) will be required under the forthcoming Subsidy Control Act to publish certain information such as the subsidy's purpose, recipient name, date granted and subsidy amount on the subsidies transparency database within 3 months of the award. BEIS currently maintains this transparency database.
- The recommendation of approval for this CIL Bid above takes into account this legal opinion and its conclusions (see above) from the Shared Legal Service.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommendation to Cabinet is to approve CIL Bid B22-06 for £152,504.86 with £43,618.07 from the Ringfenced infrastructure Fund and £108,886.79 from the Local Infrastructure Fund.

This page is intentionally left blank

Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) Initial Screening Form



Screening determines whether the policy has any relevance for equality, i.e., is there any impact on one or more of the 9 protected characteristics as defined by the Equality Act 2010. These are:

- Age
- Disability
- Gender reassignment
- Marriage and civil partnership*
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race
- Religion or belief (including lack of belief)
- Sex
- Sexual orientation

<p>1. Policy/service/function title</p>	<p>Strategic Planning Policy – Infrastructure – Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) - CIL Expenditure Programme. – March 2022 One separate report and one separate CIL Expenditure Programme for Mid Suffolk together with a technical assessment for each of the CIL Bids.</p>
<p>2. Lead officer (responsible for the policy/service/function)</p>	<p>Christine Thurlow – Professional Lead – Key Sites and Infrastructure.</p>
<p>3. Is this a new or existing policy/service/function?</p>	<p>New Existing: Existing (see 5 below)</p>
<p>4. What exactly is proposed? (Describe the policy/service/ function and the changes that are being planned?)</p>	<p>The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) - CIL Expenditure Business Plan – September 2018 was presented to both Councils Cabinets in September 2018 (relating to CIL Bids submitted in Bid Round 1 (in May 2018). The report recommended decisions by both Councils Cabinet and delegated decisions for Cabinet to note and endorse on the Bids in their Districts for delivery of infrastructure. Subsequent changes were made to the CIL Expenditure Framework through the second review (April 2020),third review (March 2021)and fourth review (July 2022).</p> <p>The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) - CIL Expenditure Business Plan – March 2019 was presented to both Councils Cabinets in March 2018 (relating to CIL Bids submitted in Bid Round 2 (in October 2018). The report recommended decisions by both Councils Cabinet and delegated decisions for Cabinet to note on the Bids in their</p>

	<p>Districts for delivery of infrastructure.</p> <p>The Cabinet decisions relating to infrastructure projects made in respect of Bids rounds 3 (May 2019) 4 (October 2019) 5 (June 2020) and 6 (October 2020), 7 (May 2021) 8 (October 2021) and 9 (May 2022) were made in August/ September 2019 and March, June September and December 2020 and March, October 2021 and December 2021, respectively.</p> <p>This report focuses on Bids made in CIL Bid Round 9 (in May 2022) at the time of writing the reports However it also includes a delivery update for CIL Bids submitted in Bid Rounds 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 including decisions at (December 2021) together with a list of emerging infrastructure projects being developed for future Bid submission (in accordance with the revisions to the CIL Expenditure Framework)</p>
<p>5. Why? (Give reasons why these changes are being introduced)</p>	<p>All the Bids submitted for CIL funding are different and relate to different Parishes, different types of infrastructure and as both Councils are sovereign Councils, monies are collected recorded and spent separately.</p> <p>There are two Bid Rounds each year and each Bid is validated screened for other forms of funding and then prioritised according to the agreed criteria, for each Bid. Dependant on whether the spend is above or below £10,000 the decision will either be made by Cabinet (£10,000 and above) or under delegated decision (under £10,000) where the decisions will be presented to Cabinet to be noted.</p> <p>At least two CIL Expenditure Programmes are produced for both Council's Cabinets to consider each year so that delivery of infrastructure can be responsive to demand, and focus can be maintained on outcomes related to delivery of infrastructure supporting growth.</p> <p>In this way the development that is carried out is sustainable as any harm from the development is mitigated by the infrastructure provision.</p>
<p>6. How will it be implemented? (Describe the decision-making process, timescales, process for implementation)</p>	<p>The processes and procedure including governance arrangements for CIL expenditure are set out in the CIL Expenditure Framework and the CIL Expenditure Communications Strategy with timescales set out in the associated Key CIL calendar document. The processes are described in 5 above.</p>

<p>7. Is there potential for differential impact (negative or positive) on any of the protected characteristics?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No Infrastructure provision is necessary to mitigate the harm from the impact of growth so that the development that is carried out is sustainable.</p> <p>Communities in general benefit from infrastructure provision and delivery and its provision generally causes positive impacts for that community that all can benefit from. It does not impact on a specific equality strand unless it has been particularly designed to do so</p> <p>Identify how the impact would affect the specific equality strand.</p>
<p>8. Is there the possibility of discriminating unlawfully, directly or indirectly, against people from any protected characteristic?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No No</p>
<p>9. Could there be an effect on relations between certain groups?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No No</p>
<p>10. Does the policy explicitly involve, or focus on a particular equalities group, i.e. because they have particular needs?</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>No No</p>
<p>If the answers are 'no' to questions 7-10 then there is no need to proceed to a full impact assessment and this form should then be signed off as appropriate.</p> <p>If 'yes' then a full impact assessment must be completed.</p>	
<p>Authors signature Christine Thurlow</p> <p>Date of completion 7th July 2022.</p>	

Any queries concerning the completion of this form should be addressed to the Equality and Diversity Lead.

* Public sector duty does not apply to marriage and civil partnership.

This page is intentionally left blank

